

EXHIBIT D

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

--oOo--

COLLEEN M. PERRY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HUNG T. LUU, M.D.; JOHNSON
& JOHNSON, a New Jersey
corporation; ETHICON, INC.,
a New Jersey corporation;
and DOES 1-60,

Defendants.

No. 1500-cv-27912 LHB

DEPOSITION OF TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.

DATE: December 19, 2014

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

LOCATION: THE STANFORD TERRACE INN
531 Stanford Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94306

REPORTED BY: LISA R. KEELING
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10518

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>2 Q. What did that preparation entail?</p> <p>3 A. Review of slides, hospital records, pathology</p> <p>4 reports, associated literature and discussions with</p> <p>5 Mr. Snowden predominantly.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. So we have -- I want to break that down.</p> <p>7 We have slides, right?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Path reports?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. Relevant medical literature?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Talked with Mr. Snowden?</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Anything I'm missing?</p> <p>16 A. Operative reports, other medical records --</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. -- of course, and then there were discussions</p> <p>19 with some other attorneys, whose names escape me.</p> <p>20 Q. Sure. Did you review any deposition testimony?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>22 Q. Whose deposition testimony did you review?</p> <p>23 A. Mrs. Perry's, Mr. Perry's, the -- I'm not sure I</p> <p>24 know how to pronounce their names, the surgeons.</p> <p>25 Q. You reviewed some of the treating physicians' --</p>	<p>1 A. I met with -- yesterday, the day before. I think</p> <p>2 the last three days.</p> <p>3 Q. So you've met with attorneys for three days?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Who did you meet with?</p> <p>6 A. Mr. Snowden.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay.</p> <p>8 A. And most recently with Mr. --</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Mr. Wes.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I know his first name, but I didn't</p> <p>11 know his last name.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. Yeah, sure. When were you first</p> <p>13 contacted by Ethicon attorneys to work on this particular</p> <p>14 case?</p> <p>15 A. I think it was mid summer of this year.</p> <p>16 Q. Mid summer 2014?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Who contacted you?</p> <p>19 A. Mr. Snowden.</p> <p>20 Q. Prior to mid summer 2014, did you have any</p> <p>21 contact with attorneys representing Ethicon?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. When was that?</p> <p>24 A. The first part of the year, I believe.</p> <p>25 Q. Early 2014?</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 A. Yes, exactly.</p> <p>2 Q. -- depositions in this case?</p> <p>3 A. Correct. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you review any internal corporate Ethicon</p> <p>5 documents?</p> <p>6 A. I may have reviewed some, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Fair to say that wasn't the focus of your</p> <p>8 preparation in rendering your opinions in this case?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. The focus was on the pathology records, the</p> <p>11 pathology slides, relevant medical literature and the</p> <p>12 treating physicians' depositions?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>14 You can answer.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's -- that's the focus and</p> <p>16 then supporting background literature. That's it.</p> <p>17 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. That was my --</p> <p>19 Q. Did you review any depositions of Ethicon</p> <p>20 employees?</p> <p>21 A. No, I don't think so.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Did you meet with attorneys prior to today</p> <p>23 to prepare for your deposition?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. When did you meet with them?</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Who contacted you then?</p> <p>3 A. Mr. Snowden.</p> <p>4 Q. Was that related to this particular case?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. I take it it was related to another Ethicon case?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>9 MR. JONES: Q. What was it related to?</p> <p>10 A. It was -- the initial contact was to see if I</p> <p>11 would be interested in examining or being an expert</p> <p>12 witness in some of these cases, but there was no specific</p> <p>13 case at that time.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. So early 2014 Ethicon attorneys contact</p> <p>15 you to gauge your availability and interest to work on</p> <p>16 cases involving transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And then in mid summer 2014, Ethicon attorneys</p> <p>19 contact you, and you agree to work on this particular</p> <p>20 case?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Prior to early 2014 had you been contacted by any</p> <p>23 other attorneys representing Ethicon?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Any other attorneys representing any mesh</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 manufacturers other than Ethicon?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. I take it you started your work in this case mid</p> <p>4 summer 2014 or shortly thereafter?</p> <p>5 A. Probably shortly thereafter, yes. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. Maybe late summer 2014 you started to work on</p> <p>7 this case?</p> <p>8 A. That would be correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. When did you first start to review the</p> <p>10 medical records in this case?</p> <p>11 A. I believe it was August.</p> <p>12 Q. When did you first start to review deposition</p> <p>13 testimony in this case?</p> <p>14 A. It may have been August or September.</p> <p>15 Q. Same for relevant medical literature?</p> <p>16 A. I had started reviewing some of the medical</p> <p>17 literature after the January -- or the early -- the first</p> <p>18 meeting that we had in January or February of the year but</p> <p>19 nothing that was specifically associated with this case.</p> <p>20 Q. Just to get generally familiar with the topics</p> <p>21 that you would be touching base on?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Yeah. Are you aware that the device in question</p> <p>24 in this case is the TVT Abbrevio?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>2 A. I read it, but I'm not all that good with</p> <p>3 numbers.</p> <p>4 Q. Sure. You're focused more on the pathology --</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. -- aspects?</p> <p>7 A. Correct.</p> <p>8 Q. Not so much the design features of the TVT</p> <p>9 Abbrevio device?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know -- do you know how the mesh and the</p> <p>12 TVT Abbrevio device is cut?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you're asking.</p> <p>15 MR. JONES: Q. I'll ask a better question.</p> <p>16 A. Okay.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you know whether the mesh in the TVT Abbrevio</p> <p>18 device is mechanical cut mesh or laser cut mesh?</p> <p>19 A. I believe it's laser.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any of the aspects</p> <p>21 of a laser cut mesh?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Object to form. It's outside the</p> <p>23 scope.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. Fair to say you're not going to</p> <p>25 be offering opinions as to the aspects of the laser cut</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 Q. Have you seen a TVT Abbrevio device?</p> <p>2 A. I've seen mesh that's been removed from the TVT.</p> <p>3 Q. Have you seen the TVT Abbrevio device in its</p> <p>4 package form as it's delivered to surgeons?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Have you held the mesh that makes up the TVT</p> <p>7 Abbrevio device in your own hands?</p> <p>8 A. Preplacement? No.</p> <p>9 Q. Preplacement?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. How about postplacement?</p> <p>12 A. I think I probably have in the gross room, yes,</p> <p>13 but just portions of the mesh, not the entire.</p> <p>14 Q. What type of mesh is used in the TVT Abbrevio?</p> <p>15 A. I'm not sure the question you're asking me.</p> <p>16 Q. What is the material that makes up the mesh in</p> <p>17 the TVT device?</p> <p>18 A. Polypropylene.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you know how much polypropylene makes up the</p> <p>20 TVT Abbrevio mesh?</p> <p>21 A. No, not at the -- not off the top of my head.</p> <p>22 Q. You don't know how long the mesh is in the TVT</p> <p>23 Abbrevio device prior to implementation?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head.</p>	<p>1 mesh device?</p> <p>2 A. That would be fair to say, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the lightweight</p> <p>4 large pore concept in mesh surgery?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I --</p> <p>6 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: I am familiar with that concept,</p> <p>8 but that's not -- again, this is not an area that I'm</p> <p>9 offering an opinion on.</p> <p>10 MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Thank you.</p> <p>11 How does tissue inside the vagina react to</p> <p>12 polypropylene?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: The tissue response to</p> <p>15 polypropylene in the vagina is probably not dissimilar to</p> <p>16 tissue response to polypropylene anywhere in the body. I</p> <p>17 mean, it made some variations, but generally it's a</p> <p>18 foreign body reaction.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. There's nothing unique as far as the</p> <p>20 tissue or inside the vagina that would alter the body's</p> <p>21 reaction to polypropylene as compared to, say, the</p> <p>22 stomach?</p> <p>23 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Well, there may be -- vaginal</p> <p>25 tissue is different than stomach tissue, and so the --</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 although the overall foreign body reaction would be the</p> <p>2 same. The adjacent tissue that it's sort of associated</p> <p>3 with will be different. I don't know that I'm answering</p> <p>4 your question.</p> <p>5 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>6 A. But that's the best I can do.</p> <p>7 Q. Sure. I appreciate it. That's probably a bad</p> <p>8 question.</p> <p>9 In the interest of being as efficient as possible</p> <p>10 with everyone's time here today, did you bring a sheet</p> <p>11 with the opinions you intend to offer in this case with</p> <p>12 you today?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>14 Q. Are you willing to share that sheet --</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. -- with me?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: I'm going to mark the Summary of</p> <p>19 Opinion of Dr. Teri Longacre as Exhibit L-1.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-1 was marked for</p> <p>21 identification.)</p> <p>22 MR. JONES: Q. You have a copy of this in front</p> <p>23 of you, Doctor?</p> <p>24 A. Yes. Yes, I do.</p> <p>25 Q. Let's go through this Summary of Opinion of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 that distinction.</p> <p>2 Q. Can I stop you right there, Doctor. Do you mind</p> <p>3 if we switch copies so that you have the copy with the</p> <p>4 deposition exhibit sticker on it?</p> <p>5 A. Sure.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: Is that fine with you, Counsel?</p> <p>7 (Counsel did not verbally respond.)</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Sure. That's fine.</p> <p>9 MR. JONES: Thank you. That allows me to mark up</p> <p>10 this copy without it showing up in the records.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Good.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. All right. So before I</p> <p>13 interrupted you were discussing the acute versus chronic</p> <p>14 inflammatory reaction.</p> <p>15 A. Correct. And the types of cells that you see in</p> <p>16 acute inflammation versus chronic inflammation.</p> <p>17 Q. And is it fair to say then that the first portion</p> <p>18 under the heading "Inflammatory Response and Foreign Body</p> <p>19 Response with Implant" is a discussion of the acute versus</p> <p>20 chronic inflammatory reaction in the cells and some of the</p> <p>21 terminology that would be applicable in that area?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. And then under letter B you have "Factors</p> <p>24 impacting wound healing."</p> <p>25 What do you intend to talk about related to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 Dr. Teri Longacre.</p> <p>2 What is the first opinion you intend to offer in</p> <p>3 this case?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know that there's necessarily an order of</p> <p>5 the opinions. As you see I've set forth at the Roman</p> <p>6 Numeral I just an itemized, I guess, list of what</p> <p>7 constitutes the inflammatory response and a foreign body</p> <p>8 response that's associated with implant material, and this</p> <p>9 really is just by way of making sure that we're all using</p> <p>10 the same terminology.</p> <p>11 So the typical reaction is there may be an acute</p> <p>12 inflammatory infiltrate, but generally it roughly -- or</p> <p>13 fairly soon shifts to a more chronic inflammatory</p> <p>14 infiltrate.</p> <p>15 By acute, I mean neutrophils. By chronic, I'm</p> <p>16 referring to other inflammatory cells. They're usually</p> <p>17 monocytes which can differentiate into macrophages,</p> <p>18 lymphocytes, mast cells, et cetera.</p> <p>19 Chronic inflammation, the term itself really</p> <p>20 denotes a description of the cells, not necessarily</p> <p>21 longstanding. It may be longstanding, but you know, we --</p> <p>22 generally we think about chronic being a long-term</p> <p>23 process.</p> <p>24 When you're talking about inflammatory cells,</p> <p>25 it's a specific type of cell. So I just wanted to make</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 factors impacting wound healing?</p> <p>2 A. Well, again, just in general things that can</p> <p>3 affect how well a wound heals include obviously genetic</p> <p>4 predisposition, but factors that can prevent wound healing</p> <p>5 or impair it are lack of nutrition. You need amino acids</p> <p>6 to heal, protein. Smoking has been shown to impact</p> <p>7 collagen formation. Diabetes. All of these may have</p> <p>8 played a role in wound healing in Mrs. Perry.</p> <p>9 There are other things that can impact wound</p> <p>10 healing that I haven't listed. Steroid therapy, et</p> <p>11 cetera. I don't think that that played a role.</p> <p>12 Q. How about genetics? You mentioned genetics. Do</p> <p>13 you think that played a role in this case?</p> <p>14 A. It may or may not.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. Some people heal faster than others. It's</p> <p>17 variable, so one doesn't really know.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. How about diabetes?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, diabetics can have impaired wound healing,</p> <p>20 and it's all about -- well, it's pretty complex, but it's</p> <p>21 in part due to vascular insufficiency. You need vascular</p> <p>22 supplying oxygen to the tissue for adequate wound healing.</p> <p>23 And that's, again, sort of why smoking is a risk</p> <p>24 factor for poor wound healing because smoking releases</p> <p>25 carbon monoxide and impairs oxygenation of tissue.</p>

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 So anything that impairs oxygenation of tissue</p> <p>2 and delivery of nutrients will impair wound healing.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with whether or not</p> <p>4 Ms. Perry smokes?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know if she currently smokes, but there</p> <p>6 was a record of it historically.</p> <p>7 Q. So you don't know one way or the other whether</p> <p>8 she currently smokes cigarettes?</p> <p>9 A. As of today, no, I don't know. But during her</p> <p>10 surgery she was a smoker, and after her surgery for her</p> <p>11 mesh she was.</p> <p>12 Q. How often did she smoke cigarettes?</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall. It varied depending on the</p> <p>14 medical record. It didn't sound like it was a pack a day.</p> <p>15 Q. She's a very light smoker, right?</p> <p>16 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: I think -- as a physician I don't</p> <p>18 know that I'm going to answer that to a yes. I'm sorry.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. That's fine.</p> <p>20 A. Any smoking is bad. So yes, she was not a pack a</p> <p>21 day.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And that was a relative term that I used.</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. It probably wasn't the best way to ask the</p> <p>25 question, but you understand what I'm getting at, is that</p>	<p>1 person, the more you smoke, one would assume the worst of</p> <p>2 side effects, correct.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. So if I understand this</p> <p>4 correctly, there are patient-specific factors that would</p> <p>5 alter the wound healing process on top of diabetes,</p> <p>6 smoking, asthma, allergies, and nutrition?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure what -- there</p> <p>9 are other factors meaning?</p> <p>10 MR. JONES: Q. Meaning -- here's what I'm</p> <p>11 getting at. The -- we talked earlier about Ms. Perry not</p> <p>12 being -- smoking a pack of cigarettes a day.</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And there's reference in the medical records that</p> <p>15 she may have smoked less than a pack a day, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And when I asked you whether the amount of</p> <p>18 cigarettes she smoked would have an impact on the wound</p> <p>19 healing, meaning the more cigarettes you smoke, it would</p> <p>20 have a greater impact on the wound healing versus the less</p> <p>21 cigarettes you smoke having a smaller impact on the wound</p> <p>22 healing, and your answer was, well, it's -- there's</p> <p>23 patient-dependent factors involved, too, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. So without knowing -- I guess what I'm getting at</p>
Page 19	Page 21
<p>1 the amount that you smoke has an impact on what we're</p> <p>2 talking about here, wound healing, correct?</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: It may or may not. Again, it's</p> <p>5 individual. Individual response. So what may impact one</p> <p>6 patient may not -- you know, may not impact another</p> <p>7 patient at all. So a pack a day may be a huge impact on</p> <p>8 one patient whereas another patient two or three</p> <p>9 cigarettes.</p> <p>10 She has some history of asthma and some history</p> <p>11 of allergies, so she may actually be more impacted by her</p> <p>12 cigarette smoking than someone who doesn't have allergies</p> <p>13 or a history of episodic asthma.</p> <p>14 So again, it's all genetic, so I can't really</p> <p>15 fairly give an answer to that.</p> <p>16 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. You said asthma and</p> <p>17 allergies may impact. Is that going to be an opinion</p> <p>18 you're going to be offering in this case?</p> <p>19 A. To the extent that I just did, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. It doesn't make a difference if a person smokes</p> <p>21 two cigarettes a day versus a pack a day for wound</p> <p>22 healing?</p> <p>23 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: I suspect -- yes. Yes. We're not</p> <p>25 comparing one person to another, but in an individual</p>	<p>1 what are those patient-dependent factors that you</p> <p>2 discussed?</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I guess I'm still not --</p> <p>5 I don't know that we're communicating very well right now.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. I was -- one individual that has vascular</p> <p>8 insufficiency for whatever reason and smokes could have --</p> <p>9 even if it's a couple of cigarettes, it may have a much</p> <p>10 larger impact than someone who does not have an underlying</p> <p>11 vascular insufficiency. That's all -- that's the only</p> <p>12 point I was trying to make. Nothing more than that.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Are you going to be giving an opinion in</p> <p>14 this case that Ms. Perry's smoking behavior impacted her</p> <p>15 wound healing?</p> <p>16 A. It may have.</p> <p>17 Q. It may have?</p> <p>18 A. Sure. Yeah.</p> <p>19 Q. Are you reasonably certain that her smoking</p> <p>20 impacted her wound healing?</p> <p>21 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: I'm reasonably certain that it may</p> <p>23 well have.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. It may well have.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 Q. Will you be giving an opinion that Ms. Perry's</p> <p>2 diet affected her wound healing?</p> <p>3 A. It may well have, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. It may have.</p> <p>5 Well, let's move on to C. "Inflammation occurs</p> <p>6 with any surgery - even absent mesh." That's pretty</p> <p>7 straightforward.</p> <p>8 A. Yes, I think so.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And your point there is that there's an</p> <p>10 inflammatory reaction to the mesh that's used with a TVT</p> <p>11 Abbrevio device, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. But that doesn't distinguish it from other</p> <p>14 surgeries that may treat stress urinary incontinence?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>16 MR. JONES: Q. Flush that out -- flush that out</p> <p>17 for me.</p> <p>18 A. So that's actually not the point.</p> <p>19 Q. Yeah.</p> <p>20 A. So the point is just because you have</p> <p>21 inflammation doesn't necessarily mean it's associated with</p> <p>22 the mesh. There is -- in fact, there is some inflammation</p> <p>23 associated with the mesh in the slides that -- of the mesh</p> <p>24 that was removed from Mrs. Perry, but you can have other</p> <p>25 kinds of inflammation that aren't related to the mesh, and</p>	<p>1 you can see, quote, chronic inflammation, closed quote, in</p> <p>2 normal tissue, and it doesn't necessarily imply anything</p> <p>3 pathologic at all. And this is particularly true in areas</p> <p>4 of mucosa.</p> <p>5 So in this particular example, vaginal mucosae,</p> <p>6 you would expect to see in normal vaginal mucosa a small</p> <p>7 complement of what we refer to as chronic inflammatory</p> <p>8 cells.</p> <p>9 Q. Fair to say chronic inflammation isn't</p> <p>10 necessarily indicative of any unintended consequences of</p> <p>11 the TVT Abbrevio implant?</p> <p>12 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: I think that's what I'm trying to</p> <p>14 say. I think that summarizes it, yes.</p> <p>15 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Would it be incorrect to</p> <p>16 say the inflammatory reaction to the mesh and the TVT</p> <p>17 Abbrevio device is minimal?</p> <p>18 A. In this case, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Would it be incorrect to say the inflammatory</p> <p>20 response to the mesh used in the TVT Abbrevio device is</p> <p>21 transitory?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: It's partially correct and</p> <p>24 partially incorrect. So when you put the device in --</p> <p>25 these implants, any foreign material in, there is an</p>
Page 23	Page 25
<p>1 that was the point.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. There's inflammation in the medical</p> <p>3 records, but it doesn't necessarily mean it's from the</p> <p>4 mesh?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. We'll skip D and move on to E, "Chronic</p> <p>9 Inflammation." Can you flush that out for us?</p> <p>10 A. Definitely. So the first point is, is that if it</p> <p>11 is, in fact, part of the normal healing response, you</p> <p>12 would expect it to occur. It would be abnormal if there</p> <p>13 wasn't some chronic inflammation in a healing wound.</p> <p>14 In fact -- well, that's all -- immunosuppressed</p> <p>15 individuals, part of the problem with their wound healing</p> <p>16 is they don't have the inflammatory cells to mount that</p> <p>17 response.</p> <p>18 And you can see chronic inflammation after</p> <p>19 surgery, but you obviously can see it without surgery for</p> <p>20 a variety of other causes. I just wanted to be sure that</p> <p>21 that was clear.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay.</p> <p>23 A. It is considered a normal and expected reaction</p> <p>24 to any implanted foreign material anywhere on the body.</p> <p>25 And the other point I really wanted to emphasize,</p>	<p>1 initial, quote, transitory, closed quote, inflammatory</p> <p>2 response that often includes mast cells and probably some</p> <p>3 neutrophils as well as the lymphocytes and the</p> <p>4 macrophages.</p> <p>5 Over time that acute process dissipates and what</p> <p>6 remains is a layer, if you will, a thin layer of chronic</p> <p>7 inflammatory cells, typically lymphocytes and macrophages</p> <p>8 that sort of make a nice little layer around the foreign</p> <p>9 material, walling it off from the normal tissue.</p> <p>10 So that does persist, but that acute sort of</p> <p>11 initial response to the body, that is transitory.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. You mentioned walling it off. Is</p> <p>13 that, I guess, a plate, a scar plate, or is this</p> <p>14 different? Are we talking about two different things?</p> <p>15 A. We're talking about two different things. It's</p> <p>16 not a scar plate. It's a layer of cells that's -- and</p> <p>17 there is often a very thin -- well, I won't say often. In</p> <p>18 this case because -- you know, there may be instances</p> <p>19 where you don't see what I'm describing, but in this</p> <p>20 particular case and what you'd like to see is a very thin</p> <p>21 layer -- a very thin layer of fibrosis -- fibrous tissue</p> <p>22 associated with that chronic inflammation, and that's it.</p> <p>23 Not a thick layer.</p> <p>24 Q. Is there always a chronic inflammatory reaction</p> <p>25 when the TVT Abbrevio mesh is implanted inside the body?</p>

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 A. There should -- I would -- I expect as a</p> <p>2 pathologist anytime I see foreign material removed from</p> <p>3 the body that has been there for more than a few hours to</p> <p>4 have an inflammatory response. Absolutely. Every single</p> <p>5 time.</p> <p>6 Q. Same for chronic foreign body response?</p> <p>7 A. That's exactly what I'm talking about, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Same thing --</p> <p>9 A. I would expect to see some kind of chronic</p> <p>10 response. It would be -- in fact, if I don't see it, it</p> <p>11 tells me that that foreign material has been very, very</p> <p>12 recently placed.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you happen to review any of the advertising</p> <p>14 Ethicon uses for the TVT devices in this case?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't specifically recall</p> <p>17 reviewing advertising, but I may have read some inserts.</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: Q. So you don't have any recall of</p> <p>19 Ethicon in their marketing materials for the TVT devices</p> <p>20 stating there would be no chronic foreign body response to</p> <p>21 the mesh?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: I don't --</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Misstates --</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall reading that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 28</p> <p>1 absorbable mesh just as there would be to a permanent</p> <p>2 polypropylene mesh?</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Well, there is a -- so there is a</p> <p>5 foreign body reaction to absorbable material as well as</p> <p>6 nonabsorbable material. Whether that response lasts</p> <p>7 decades after that suture's been completely absorbed, I</p> <p>8 can't -- I really don't know that.</p> <p>9 But in my practice I have seen where, you know,</p> <p>10 there's -- there's a persistence of that foreign body</p> <p>11 reaction. I don't see any suture anymore. Now, maybe if</p> <p>12 you do sophisticated studies, you could find little</p> <p>13 particles, I don't know, but you can see the residual sort</p> <p>14 of response even though the suture's gone.</p> <p>15 Q. Will you be offering an opinion in this case that</p> <p>16 there is a difference in the foreign body response between</p> <p>17 an absorbable mesh and a nonabsorbable mesh?</p> <p>18 A. No, I will not.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. The only point is that anytime any foreign</p> <p>21 material gets introduced in the body, there will be a</p> <p>22 response.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. And it may even last after the material's been</p> <p>25 removed.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 there would not be one, no.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. Let's move on to F, "Foreign body</p> <p>3 reaction expected with the implant."</p> <p>4 Can you flush that one out?</p> <p>5 A. That's just, again, emphasizing basically what we</p> <p>6 just discussed, that I would expect to see some sort of</p> <p>7 reaction always to any foreign material. And in fact,</p> <p>8 sometimes normal tissue gets in the wrong spot in the</p> <p>9 body, and you would expect to see a foreign body reaction</p> <p>10 to that as well. An ingrown hair follicle, you know, if</p> <p>11 the hair shaft or keratin gets embedded in the connective</p> <p>12 tissue of the dermis will incite a foreign body reaction.</p> <p>13 And that's all self tissue, but it's in the wrong spot.</p> <p>14 So anything that's occurring in the wrong spot</p> <p>15 should elicit some reaction.</p> <p>16 Q. You talk about foreign body reaction remaining</p> <p>17 after an absorbable suture has been absorbed.</p> <p>18 A. Yes. I've even seen that, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So if someone were to make the argument</p> <p>20 that using a partially absorbable implant in a TVT Abbrevio</p> <p>21 device would not cause a chronic foreign body response --</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. -- that would be incorrect?</p> <p>24 A. That would be incorrect, yeah.</p> <p>25 Q. There's a chronic foreign body response to an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 29</p> <p>1 Q. Topic G. Have we covered that topic in some of</p> <p>2 our discussions already, or is there anything additional</p> <p>3 that you'd like to share about topic G?</p> <p>4 A. The only other point, again, is about</p> <p>5 terminology.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. Pathologists try to be very specific in the terms</p> <p>8 that they use, and I just wanted to emphasize that</p> <p>9 fibrosis is different from dense scarring, and that's</p> <p>10 different from fibroconnective tissue. They really mean</p> <p>11 somewhat different things.</p> <p>12 Q. Scarring, scarification, scar plate is different</p> <p>13 than fibrosis?</p> <p>14 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Generally, yes. It really -- I</p> <p>16 mean, obviously part of that dense -- fibrosis is part of</p> <p>17 that dense scarring, but there are degrees of fibrosis</p> <p>18 quite honestly.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. And fibroconnective tissue is normal tissue.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. There's nothing abnormal about fibrosis</p> <p>22 occurring after a transvaginal mesh surgery?</p> <p>23 A. There is nothing -- you would expect fibrosis</p> <p>24 after a wound. So if there's been surgery, yes. That's</p> <p>25 not abnormal. That's part of the healing process,</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Topic H, "Risk of infection following surgery is</p> <p>3 well known."</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. That is what it is?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. We don't need to flush it out?</p> <p>8 A. Yeah, nothing else.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Moving to heading Roman Numeral II,</p> <p>10 "Tissue of the Vaginal Wall." You talk about the four</p> <p>11 layers of tissue in the vaginal wall, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Can you explain those terms and why it's</p> <p>14 important that you have included the four layers of the</p> <p>15 tissue of vaginal wall in your summary of opinions?</p> <p>16 A. Well, I think it's important to realize that as</p> <p>17 we -- as you suggested earlier in one of your earlier</p> <p>18 questions that perhaps vaginal tissue is different from</p> <p>19 stomach tissue. This was just to emphasize that vaginal</p> <p>20 tissue is a mucosal tissue, so there's a mucosal layer</p> <p>21 that's different from skin. It's certainly different from</p> <p>22 stomach, different from hernia repairs, that kind of</p> <p>23 thing.</p> <p>24 And then just to emphasize that there's the</p> <p>25 mucosal layer, and then beneath that what's referred to as</p>	<p>1 A. So if you see adipose tissue in the hernia repair</p> <p>2 and you don't see it in the vaginal mesh, that doesn't</p> <p>3 mean there's anything wrong. It means there's no adipose</p> <p>4 tissue there to begin with. Just to make that clear.</p> <p>5 That's all I meant.</p> <p>6 Q. Sure. I appreciate that. We'll move on to topic</p> <p>7 III. "No gross findings because nothing but slides to</p> <p>8 review."</p> <p>9 What do you mean by that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, when we talk about gross findings in</p> <p>11 pathology, we're talking about the tissue that comes in</p> <p>12 through the OR. So in this case it would have been that</p> <p>13 mesh material that was removed during the mesh removal or</p> <p>14 the mucosal tissue that was removed at the tying of the</p> <p>15 mesh placement, and that I don't -- that was already done</p> <p>16 by a different pathologist. So my gross finding is really</p> <p>17 just the slides. That's all that means.</p> <p>18 Q. You talk about the slides you reviewed.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. What slides did you review?</p> <p>21 A. They were recut slides from blocks that were made</p> <p>22 from the initial procedure when the mesh was placed and</p> <p>23 when the tissue -- the mesh was removed. So two different</p> <p>24 surgical procedures.</p> <p>25 Q. Were there any conclusions or findings noted on</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 submucosa, and there's a muscle layer and then the outer</p> <p>2 adventitia. There's really no adipose tissue present in</p> <p>3 the vaginal tissues.</p> <p>4 Q. Why is that important to note?</p> <p>5 A. Well, I think sometimes people extrapolate</p> <p>6 findings from mesh in one organ site to another organ</p> <p>7 site. Again, that was one of the questions you asked.</p> <p>8 And although in many respects, I think that it's a similar</p> <p>9 response. You would not expect it to be completely</p> <p>10 identical if you're putting it in a different kind of</p> <p>11 tissue.</p> <p>12 So if you see adipose tissue associated with mesh</p> <p>13 material in a ventral hernia, that would be expected, but</p> <p>14 you would not expect to see adipose tissue in a vaginal</p> <p>15 tissue that contained a mesh material.</p> <p>16 Does that make sense?</p> <p>17 Q. Yes.</p> <p>18 A. And that would not be -- I mean, it would be</p> <p>19 abnormal to suddenly start seeing adipose tissue.</p> <p>20 Q. It sounds like you're saying there's a</p> <p>21 distinction between hernia repair, mesh and transvaginal</p> <p>22 mesh?</p> <p>23 A. No. I don't know necessarily about the mesh, but</p> <p>24 the surrounding tissue.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 those pathology records?</p> <p>2 A. Do you mean the pathology reports?</p> <p>3 Q. (Nods head.)</p> <p>4 A. Yes. There were pathology diagnoses on both of</p> <p>5 them, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you recall what those diagnoses were?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, I have them in front of me.</p> <p>8 MR. JONES: Okay. Why don't we go ahead and mark</p> <p>9 those very quickly. We'll mark as exhibit L-2 Bakersfield</p> <p>10 Pathology Medical Group Pathology Report dated 3-25-2011.</p> <p>11 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-2 was marked for</p> <p>12 identification.)</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: We'll mark as Exhibit L-3 Bakersfield</p> <p>14 Memorial Hospital Pathology Report with the date of</p> <p>15 January 18th, 2012.</p> <p>16 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-3 was marked for</p> <p>17 identification.)</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: Q. What was the diagnosis in Exhibit</p> <p>19 L-2?</p> <p>20 A. The pathology report diagnosis reads:</p> <p>21 "Vaginal wall, comma, posterior, comma, excision.</p> <p>22 "Hyperplastic squamous mucosa with patchy</p> <p>23 submucosal mild chronic inflammation and prominent</p> <p>24 vascular congestion.</p> <p>25 "There is no evidence of viral cellular changes,</p>

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 comma, dysplasia or malignancy, period. 2 "All margins of excision are free of lesions and 3 are viable." 4 Q. Do you have an opinion as to that diagnosis? 5 A. Yes, I do. 6 Q. What is that opinion? 7 A. Well, I agree that there is, in fact, squamous 8 mucosa present and that it does, in fact, show patchy 9 submucosal chronic inflammation. There's also some 10 vascular congestion, which I interpret as likely 11 procedural during the -- i.e., it was introduced during 12 the surgical procedure. 13 There is some edema, and there was also some 14 focal parakeratosis, which you often see in prolapsed 15 squamous tissue. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. There were also fragments of hair bearing skin 18 from the perineum in addition to the fragments of vaginal 19 mucosa. And, in fact, I think the predominant tissue was 20 actually perineal tissue, it wasn't vaginal tissue. 21 I think we counted, I don't know, 18 or 19 22 fragments of tissue total, and I believe 13 of them were 23 the perineum, and it was -- the minor component was 24 actually squamous mucosal tissue. 25 Q. What does that indicate to you as a pathologist?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 MR. WES: Object to form. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can try to do that. So 3 looking at the slides, there were -- first of all, all the 4 tissue that was submitted to the pathologist was actually 5 submitted for a histological examination. Sometimes we 6 just do representative submission, but all of it -- all 7 was submitted. I just wanted to be sure that I was 8 correct. 9 Of that tissue -- of those tissue fragments, I 10 actually have the numbers here now I noticed. Eight were 11 from the vagina, and those vaginal fragments show changes 12 that are consistent with prolapse, which is bulging of the 13 vagina usually distally, which is part of the reason 14 why -- indirectly part of the reason she was having 15 urinary incontinence. 16 In addition, there were multiple fragments of 17 skin that was removed along the region of the opening of 18 the vagina. And in fact, there were more fragments of 19 that than the vaginal tissue. There were 13 fragments of 20 those. 21 MR. JONES: Q. And other than your comment about 22 it being incomplete, you don't have any substantive 23 disagreements? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit L-3, which is the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 A. It indicates there was a significant amount of 2 that perineal tissue that was removed during that 3 procedure. 4 Q. Do you disagree with the diagnosis in this 5 pathology report? 6 A. I agree with the diagnosis. I just think it's 7 incomplete because they didn't note that there was a fair 8 amount of skin there as well, but other than that, there's 9 no substantive disagreement at all. 10 Q. Okay. And if someone sitting on the jury asks 11 for the most plain English way to communicate the findings 12 of this pathology report, what would your testimony be? 13 A. That there was -- let's stop. Ask the question 14 again. 15 Q. Here's what I'm getting at. These are some 16 complicated concepts, terms that people aren't commonly 17 familiar with, right? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. Very specific to the pathology field? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. So if someone's sitting in the juror box and a 22 juror says, "I don't understand anything that you just 23 said," could you break it down in language that someone 24 who is not a pathologist could understand? That's what 25 I'm getting at.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 path report related to the explant surgery, right? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. What were the find -- final diagnosis in this 4 pathology report related to the explant surgery? 5 A. "One irregularly shaped portion of mesh-like 6 material with surrounding portions of fibroconnective and 7 focally non-keratinized squamous epithelial, back slash, 8 mucosal tissue with mild chronic inflammation, back slash, 9 fibrosis with no dysplasia or malignancy identified." 10 Q. Do you have any substantive disagreement with 11 that diagnosis? 12 A. No, I don't. I would add, if that's all right, 13 that the specimen -- the tissue's really fragmented and 14 the mesh material is really fragmented from the 15 processing. And so it's -- this particular pathologist 16 didn't make a lot of comments about the mesh, and that's 17 largely because it's -- it's a distorted specimen from 18 processing. 19 Q. What processing are you speaking about? 20 A. The -- I think the removal and then the 21 sectioning, the tissue sectioning. I think the way it was 22 embedded and then the knife cut. It likely -- a 23 combination of those factors. There is -- in addition, 24 there was an area of mucosal disruption if -- I think 25 that's the best term to use.</p>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 And in association with that area of mucosal</p> <p>2 disruption, there was more inflammation than was evidenced</p> <p>3 around that actual mesh material. And there was some</p> <p>4 organizing fibrosis, which I interpret as a non-healing</p> <p>5 wound. And this was associated, of course, with the</p> <p>6 mucosal aspect, not the underlying mesh material, which</p> <p>7 was in the submucosal tissue.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So there's some healing issues involved</p> <p>9 here?</p> <p>10 A. Yes. In this mucosal wound, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And you talked earlier about smoking and</p> <p>12 diet and diabetes being related to healing, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Will you be offering an opinion in this case that</p> <p>15 there was impaired wound healing from Ms. Perry and the</p> <p>16 cause of that impaired wound healing was her diet and her</p> <p>17 smoking behavior?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: My opinion is that there is a</p> <p>20 non-healing wound, and it appears chronic. And there are</p> <p>21 a variety of factors that may contribute to non-healing</p> <p>22 wounds, and some of these factors are smoking, you know,</p> <p>23 diabetes. I mean, alcohol intake, I don't know that she's</p> <p>24 a big drinker, but I mean there's a whole lot of factors</p> <p>25 that could play into non healing, impaired vascular</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 There was no evidence of a traumatic neuroma.</p> <p>2 There was certainly no large nerve fiber.</p> <p>3 Q. What does that mean?</p> <p>4 A. So part of the reason she was having the mesh</p> <p>5 removal is pain. Certainly part of it was the husband,</p> <p>6 but she also had some pain. And although we often don't</p> <p>7 see an obvious cause of pain when we examine histologic</p> <p>8 tissue removed from patients with pain, sometimes we do</p> <p>9 see the cause of it, and one of them would be a large</p> <p>10 nerve sitting right next to a foreign body. You would</p> <p>11 assume -- or you would presume that that was probably</p> <p>12 impinging on that nerve.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Impinging or entrapped or --</p> <p>14 A. Or just anything. Just pushing on it will cause</p> <p>15 pain, but there were no large nerves there.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. And then the only other thing is there was no</p> <p>18 real necrosis. Again, necrotic tissue will not heal, but</p> <p>19 I didn't see any necrosis.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. You said that one of the reasons why</p> <p>21 Ms. Perry had the mesh removed was because of pain,</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And you said often you won't see factors that</p> <p>25 would indicate pain in the histology, correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 supply, et cetera.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Have we covered all of the</p> <p>3 aspects of both pathology reports related to the opinions</p> <p>4 that you intend to offer in this case?</p> <p>5 A. Well, there's a -- essentially we have, yes.</p> <p>6 Other than this non-healing wound and the thin layer of</p> <p>7 lymphocytes and macrophages around the mesh material,</p> <p>8 which you would expect to see, there really were very few</p> <p>9 giant cells.</p> <p>10 There really wasn't a significant multinucleated</p> <p>11 sort of -- multinucleated giant cell reaction, foreign</p> <p>12 body giant cell reaction. There's nothing concerning</p> <p>13 about the response of the tissue to the mesh material.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. There is normal vascularization of the tissue.</p> <p>16 There's no significant acute inflammation, and by that I</p> <p>17 imply there's no evidence of infection because infection</p> <p>18 would be one reason to have a non-healing wound, of</p> <p>19 course.</p> <p>20 There were also no large nerve fibers. I</p> <p>21 received several unstained slides. And I did an S-100</p> <p>22 stain on one of the unstained slides, and there were small</p> <p>23 little nerve twigs, none of which were abnormal in</p> <p>24 configuration. They were in the appropriate distribution</p> <p>25 of the submucosal tissue.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I think what I was trying to convey</p> <p>3 is that -- let's see. Clinical and histologic correlation</p> <p>4 or clinical pathologic correlation is not always perfect</p> <p>5 in cases of pain, first of all. We may not see any good</p> <p>6 cause of pain, and the patient has pain.</p> <p>7 We may also see what we interpret as a cause of</p> <p>8 pain, i.e., a large nerve, and maybe the patient never had</p> <p>9 pain. You call up and you say, well, oh, that's</p> <p>10 interesting, the patient never complained about it.</p> <p>11 So that correlation is not perfect. That doesn't</p> <p>12 mean we still don't try. That's the only point I was</p> <p>13 making</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: Q. Sure. What does cause pain?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: That's a very complicated question.</p> <p>17 MR. JONES: Q. Do we know what causes pain?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Well, at some level we know that</p> <p>20 there are sensory nerves that if they are injured or sense</p> <p>21 obnoxious stimuli, we perceive pain. That's a simple way</p> <p>22 of talking about it, and I don't know that we want to go</p> <p>23 in much more depth.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. No.</p> <p>25 A. And that's really not in my area of what I want</p>

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 42</p> <p>1 to be doing with my opinion.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. If that's okay with you.</p> <p>4 Q. It is okay. Here Ms. Perry is complaining of</p> <p>5 pain, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. And is there anything in the pathology records</p> <p>8 that would indicate what the cause of her pain is?</p> <p>9 A. Okay. So I'm not so certain about the actual</p> <p>10 pathology reports, but I do think the abundant material of</p> <p>11 that perineal, the skin around the vaginal introitus,</p> <p>12 suggests that perhaps the result -- resulted in too much</p> <p>13 narrowing of that opening, vaginal opening, and that will</p> <p>14 certainly cause pain.</p> <p>15 Q. Will you be giving an opinion in this case that</p> <p>16 Ms. Perry's pain is caused by vaginal narrowing?</p> <p>17 A. I think to a certain extent it is, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Is the mesh causing Ms. Perry any pain?</p> <p>19 A. I see no histologic evidence for that, no.</p> <p>20 Q. It doesn't mean that the mesh isn't causing her</p> <p>21 pain, it just means you don't see anything in the</p> <p>22 histology that would --</p> <p>23 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. -- be indicative of mesh causing</p> <p>25 the pain?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 44</p> <p>1 foreign material that has not been associated with pain at</p> <p>2 all.</p> <p>3 So I would not expect that to be associated with</p> <p>4 pain, either. Not only do I not see anything, I would not</p> <p>5 expect pain to be associated with this mesh --</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. -- based on all my experience and in this</p> <p>8 particular case as well.</p> <p>9 Q. What experience are you referencing?</p> <p>10 A. Looking at all kinds of foreign materials that</p> <p>11 have been removed from all different organs.</p> <p>12 Q. What foreign materials?</p> <p>13 A. Mesh as well as all kinds of medical devices.</p> <p>14 Q. What type of mesh?</p> <p>15 A. Some of them would be propylene. I don't know</p> <p>16 all the meshes.</p> <p>17 Q. So you've --</p> <p>18 A. Any foreign material basically.</p> <p>19 Q. So you've examined explanted polypropylene mesh</p> <p>20 from the vagina before?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And you've seen -- what have you seen when you've</p> <p>23 examined explanted transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>24 A. Often findings very similar to this. In some</p> <p>25 cases I've seen more inflammation. A couple of cases have</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 43</p> <p>1 A. I think that's -- I think it's okay to say that,</p> <p>2 yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay.</p> <p>4 A. There's nothing on the basis of what I see that I</p> <p>5 would expect that that -- or attribute any of that pain to</p> <p>6 the mesh.</p> <p>7 Q. And as we discussed before, there's not a perfect</p> <p>8 correlation there between what you see in the histological</p> <p>9 records and how it relates to pain?</p> <p>10 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: I think that's correct, yes.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. Sometimes you look at the</p> <p>13 pathology and there's something there and you say, yep,</p> <p>14 this is causing the pain, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Oh, I see what you're asking. Yeah, on occasion</p> <p>16 we do. I guess the point I was trying to make about the</p> <p>17 mesh not -- there's no evidence for the mesh being the</p> <p>18 etiologic -- the cause, if you will, of her pain is that</p> <p>19 number one, I don't see anything in the slides. That's</p> <p>20 the first observation.</p> <p>21 And the second observation is that based on all</p> <p>22 my experience looking at lots and lots of foreign</p> <p>23 material, this looks -- the response, the normal tissue</p> <p>24 response, to this mesh material looks similar if not -- or</p> <p>25 if anything much milder than I have seen with other</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 been removed for actually acute infection, and so you see</p> <p>2 it more in the way of acute inflammatory cells.</p> <p>3 Q. How many times have you examined explanted</p> <p>4 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>5 A. I would -- I'm estimating. I would say grossly</p> <p>6 it would -- minimal would be probably a couple dozen and</p> <p>7 then microscopically half a dozen. We don't do</p> <p>8 microscopic examinations on all explanted mesh material.</p> <p>9 Q. When did -- these 24 times, roughly, that you've</p> <p>10 examined explanted transvaginal mesh, when did that occur?</p> <p>11 What time frame?</p> <p>12 A. Oh, in the last -- as far as I can remember, I</p> <p>13 don't really know.</p> <p>14 Q. Last 20 years?</p> <p>15 A. I've been practicing -- well, maybe not 20. Last</p> <p>16 ten.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. I mean, I don't remember that far. But it's just</p> <p>19 in my regular practice, not any kind of -- not in the</p> <p>20 context of a legal case by any --</p> <p>21 Q. That was going to be my next question. So in the</p> <p>22 course of your normal pathology practice, you've examined</p> <p>23 roughly two dozen explanted transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>24 A. I would say minimum.</p> <p>25 Q. Minimum.</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. But --</p> <p>3 A. Likely more.</p> <p>4 Q. Likely more?</p> <p>5 A. But minimum, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Can you give a range?</p> <p>7 A. Oh, no.</p> <p>8 Q. Ceiling floor?</p> <p>9 A. Oh, I don't think more than -- I would say</p> <p>10 probably not more than three dozen.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. But I mean, I could be wrong.</p> <p>13 Q. When you've examined these explanted transvaginal</p> <p>14 mesh implants, why were you doing that?</p> <p>15 A. Because they were submitted to pathology.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Do you know why the mesh was removed?</p> <p>17 A. Sometimes we do, and sometimes we don't.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. It's fair to say women don't have mesh</p> <p>19 removed unless there's some sort of complication that</p> <p>20 presents itself that makes it appropriate to remove that</p> <p>21 mesh, correct?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Object to form, assumes facts not in</p> <p>23 evidence.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: It -- typically you would expect</p> <p>25 that, but I don't know that that was always the case in</p>	<p>1 That I can say, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Let me ask a better question. When Ethicon sells</p> <p>3 the TVT Abbrevio mesh, it's intended to be a permanent</p> <p>4 implant?</p> <p>5 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>6 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>7 MR. JONES: Q. Let's get back to your opinion</p> <p>8 summary. And we already talked about your overall</p> <p>9 conclusions related to the pathology reports, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, I think so.</p> <p>11 Q. We've covered that. Are there any additional</p> <p>12 opinions related to the pathology slides that you reviewed</p> <p>13 beyond the pathology report?</p> <p>14 A. I don't think so. I'm not sure I'm understanding</p> <p>15 your question.</p> <p>16 Q. Yeah, let me ask a better question. What</p> <p>17 opinions will you be giving related to the pathology</p> <p>18 slides in this case?</p> <p>19 A. My opinion is that there is mesh material</p> <p>20 present, that it is lined by a very thin layer of</p> <p>21 lymphocytes and macrophages with associated fibrous</p> <p>22 tissue, which is normal and expected.</p> <p>23 There is no other abnormality or concerning</p> <p>24 finding associated with the mesh itself. However, there</p> <p>25 is a mucosal non-healing wound that is not attributed to</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 some of the cases that I reviewed.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. But it's fair to say women have</p> <p>3 mesh removed because of complications, right?</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: I -- I'm not sure I feel</p> <p>6 comfortable saying yes to that because, as I say, there</p> <p>7 was a fair number that we get the mesh in, and there's no</p> <p>8 clinical history. So I don't -- when I get a clinical</p> <p>9 history of a complication, yes, absolutely, that's why</p> <p>10 they're removing it.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. Otherwise, I don't necessarily know why.</p> <p>13 Q. When Ethicon sells the TVT Abbrevio mesh, it</p> <p>14 doesn't intend for that mesh to be removed, correct?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope of</p> <p>16 her opinions.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: It really is outside my scope.</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: Q. You don't know one way or the</p> <p>19 other whether --</p> <p>20 A. It's not --</p> <p>21 Q. -- mesh is removed?</p> <p>22 A. -- implanted as a temporary. My understanding it</p> <p>23 is not considered -- you know, this is -- you know, like</p> <p>24 birth control implants they take out after three years.</p> <p>25 That's not what the intended life span of these mesh is.</p>	<p>1 the device itself but I think is likely a complication</p> <p>2 from the surgery on the prior incision.</p> <p>3 Q. In jumping ahead to page 3, number III, "Overall,</p> <p>4 chronic inflammation is mild with focal area of more</p> <p>5 moderate inflammation at the site..."</p> <p>6 What do you mean by that?</p> <p>7 A. Again, there's a very minimal chronic</p> <p>8 inflammation in the submucosal tissue, and it's comparable</p> <p>9 in amount to the presence of the chronic inflammation that</p> <p>10 was present in her vaginal tissue at the time of the mesh</p> <p>11 insertion.</p> <p>12 However, at that area of the mucosal disruption,</p> <p>13 there's more significant, more moderate -- it's a more</p> <p>14 striking and chronic inflammatory process, which is in</p> <p>15 keeping with this chronic non-healing wound.</p> <p>16 Q. Let's move to 14. "No evidence of shrinkage of</p> <p>17 the mesh in vivo."</p> <p>18 What do you mean by that?</p> <p>19 A. I was asked was there evidence of shrinking, and</p> <p>20 first of all, I mean, I don't know how you'd know that</p> <p>21 other than obviously a huge retraction, which there's not.</p> <p>22 But there's no dense scarring or fibrosis that would lead</p> <p>23 you to think that it's been compressed.</p> <p>24 And then I just wanted to emphasize that if</p> <p>25 people were going to try and extrapolate the measurements</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 of the tissue that was removed and make a comparison to</p> <p>2 what normal mesh should be measuring, that that's a bit</p> <p>3 inaccurate would be the polite way to say that.</p> <p>4 As soon as you remove anything from the body, it</p> <p>5 retracts. Any kind of tissue retracts. And then once</p> <p>6 it's in formalin, it retracts even more. Formalin</p> <p>7 fixate -- fixation. And measurements in a gross are</p> <p>8 rough. They're using quick millimeters. They're not</p> <p>9 doing exact measurements. So that's the only point I</p> <p>10 wanted to make. Surgeons are well aware of that.</p> <p>11 Q. Have you reviewed any testing by Ethicon related</p> <p>12 to shrinking mesh?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>16 Q. So you don't know one way or the other if the</p> <p>17 testing that you just described is inaccurate, whether</p> <p>18 Ethicon's run that actual testing or not?</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: Q. Meaning the gross measurements</p> <p>21 of look at the size pre-implant, look at the size</p> <p>22 post-implant, there's a difference, ah-ha, there must be</p> <p>23 shrinkage? That's what you were referring to as</p> <p>24 inadequate or inaccurate, right?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving an opinion in</p> <p>2 this case that the mesh does not shrink?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>5 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Your opinion is I've</p> <p>6 looked at the pathology records, I don't see anything</p> <p>7 indicative of mesh shrinkage?</p> <p>8 A. Yes. If you mean the slides and the pathology in</p> <p>9 the pathology reports, absolutely, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any medical literature</p> <p>11 related to shrinkage of mesh in vivo?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I believe I have.</p> <p>13 Q. What literature would that be?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall it off the top of my head, but I'm</p> <p>15 sure it's on that device that they -- the USB device that</p> <p>16 would involve all the materials they provided me.</p> <p>17 Q. So we can take that thumb drive that you and</p> <p>18 counsel have provided, look at the medical literature</p> <p>19 there and find articles related to mesh shrinkage that you</p> <p>20 have read and reviewed?</p> <p>21 A. That I have reviewed.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay.</p> <p>23 A. There's levels of review, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. What levels of review?</p> <p>25 A. Well, again, this is not exactly in my area of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm not sure about -- no, I</p> <p>3 don't know that an Ethicon study has done that.</p> <p>4 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. That I'm aware of, no.</p> <p>6 Q. Are you aware of any testimony from Ethicon</p> <p>7 doctors, medical directors or engineers or internal</p> <p>8 Ethicon documents that state the mesh used in the TVT</p> <p>9 shrinks up to 50 percent?</p> <p>10 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: It really is not part of my</p> <p>12 opinion.</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: Q. So that doesn't matter to you</p> <p>14 either way whether Ethicon itself says mesh shrinks?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Same objections. Also, argumentative.</p> <p>16 MR. JONES: Q. Let me restate the question.</p> <p>17 Does it matter to you in forming your opinions in this</p> <p>18 case that Ethicon employees, including medical directors</p> <p>19 and engineers, have stated that the mesh used in the TVT</p> <p>20 Abbrevio device shrinks up to 50 percent?</p> <p>21 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: In the formation of my opinion,</p> <p>23 looking at the slides, it has no relevance, yes. Those</p> <p>24 things may all be true, but that's not part of my opinion</p> <p>25 and --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 expertise -- or at least it's not in the area of where I'm</p> <p>2 forming my opinions. So I didn't focus my attention</p> <p>3 chiefly on that. I focused my attention on the pathology.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And then the final entry on the summary of</p> <p>5 opinion under number 16. Can you explain what you mean</p> <p>6 there?</p> <p>7 A. Certainly I think I may have mentioned this</p> <p>8 already. Number 16 basically reads: Other than a</p> <p>9 non-healing wound, which is present in the region of the</p> <p>10 vaginal mucosa and not attributable to the device or to</p> <p>11 the mesh itself, there is really no significant tissue</p> <p>12 reaction to that mesh other than the thin layer of</p> <p>13 lymphocytes and macrophages that's expected. There's no</p> <p>14 dense fibrosis.</p> <p>15 All those things that I said were not there are</p> <p>16 not there, and I think that that wound is likely a</p> <p>17 complication from the surgical procedure. The incision</p> <p>18 may have healed initially but must have broke down.</p> <p>19 'Cause it really does look like it's a chronic non-healing</p> <p>20 wound to me.</p> <p>21 Q. Any additional opinions you intend to offer in</p> <p>22 this case that we haven't discussed and are not included</p> <p>23 on this summary of opinion sheet?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. We've covered it all?</p>

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 A. I believe we have.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. Either we have in this deposition or it's on this</p> <p>4 sheet, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And -- excuse me. Just in an attempt to</p> <p>6 try to break it down, there's some healing issues involved</p> <p>7 in this case, correct, in your opinion?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Impaired healing, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And it's your opinion the mesh is not the cause</p> <p>12 of Ms. Perry's pain, correct?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form. It assumes facts.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that it's highly</p> <p>15 unlikely that the mesh is what's causing her pain. I</p> <p>16 think that's true based on what I've seen and reviewed. I</p> <p>17 think it's for more likely attributed to the colporrhaphy</p> <p>18 procedure.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Why do you say that?</p> <p>20 A. For the reasons that I mentioned earlier. In</p> <p>21 other words, the removal of all that skin tissue around</p> <p>22 the vaginal opening causing that opening to be narrower</p> <p>23 and tighter.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Let's move on to what you're relying on</p> <p>25 for the opinions that you've discussed.</p>	<p>1 Q. Did you review every single item on this thumb</p> <p>2 drive? First off -- let me backtrack.</p> <p>3 Do you know what's on this thumb drive?</p> <p>4 A. My understanding is it's everything that's been</p> <p>5 sent to me.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you review everything that was sent to you?</p> <p>7 A. No, I have not reviewed everything. There's been</p> <p>8 a number of things that have been sent in the last few</p> <p>9 days that I've not reviewed.</p> <p>10 Q. What's been sent in the last few days?</p> <p>11 A. I'm not even sure what they are. There was</p> <p>12 something that came last night. I have not opened it</p> <p>13 so...</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. Some of it may be depositions --</p> <p>16 Q. Hot off the press deposition --</p> <p>17 A. -- that I'm really not aware.</p> <p>18 Q. -- transcripts perhaps.</p> <p>19 A. Well, no, it's not always that. There's</p> <p>20 something --</p> <p>21 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>22 A. -- the other day that wasn't --</p> <p>23 THE REPORTER: Okay. I couldn't get you both</p> <p>24 talking at the same time.</p> <p>25 Go ahead.</p>
Page 55	Page 57
<p>1 Counsel, did you bring with you some materials</p> <p>2 today that include her reliance materials --</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Yes.</p> <p>4 MR. JONES: -- that we can mark for the record?</p> <p>5 MR. WES: They are on this flash drive.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and mark</p> <p>7 this flash drive as L-4. I'll take it with me, but we'll</p> <p>8 mark it for the record as Exhibit L-4.</p> <p>9 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-4 was marked for</p> <p>10 identification.)</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. On this thumb drive -- first off,</p> <p>12 Doctor, did you create this thumb drive?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Counsel created this for you?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Have you looked at what's on this thumb drive?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. You've relied on counsel to adequately put</p> <p>19 all your reliance materials on this thumb drive?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. So if there's a mistake, it's their fault,</p> <p>22 right?</p> <p>23 A. Their mis --</p> <p>24 Q. That's tough.</p> <p>25 A. -- take -- their mistake.</p>	<p>1 MR. JONES: Q. How can I make a determination of</p> <p>2 what on this thumb drive you reviewed and what you haven't</p> <p>3 reviewed?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. I mean, you understand --</p> <p>6 A. I know.</p> <p>7 Q. -- I've got to know what you're relying on, what</p> <p>8 you reviewed, right?</p> <p>9 A. Well, to a certain extent, yes. But most of my</p> <p>10 opinions that I've expressed particularly about this case</p> <p>11 are really based on pathology, my review of the slides.</p> <p>12 I'm really not opining on many of those things that were</p> <p>13 submitted to me.</p> <p>14 Yes, I'm relying on Ms. Perry's medical record</p> <p>15 and all those issues that have been associated</p> <p>16 with her initiating her mesh placement at the beginning</p> <p>17 and then the subsequent removal of that and some of that</p> <p>18 follow-up. Those things certainly I'm using.</p> <p>19 Q. That's an easy one.</p> <p>20 A. Right.</p> <p>21 Q. Pathology slides --</p> <p>22 A. Exactly.</p> <p>23 Q. -- the pathology reports?</p> <p>24 A. And the medical records.</p> <p>25 Q. The medical records --</p>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 A. Of course, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. -- Ms. Perry's deposition and Mr. Perry's</p> <p>3 deposition?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Earlier I asked you did you review medical</p> <p>6 literature related to mesh shrinkage, and you answered</p> <p>7 yes, right?</p> <p>8 A. I think I have, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And then I asked you what literature that was,</p> <p>10 and you couldn't recall.</p> <p>11 A. Correct.</p> <p>12 Q. And then I said I'll be able to go to this thumb</p> <p>13 drive and look at the literature related to mesh shrinkage</p> <p>14 that you reviewed?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. But you didn't review everything on this thumb</p> <p>17 drive, right?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: And Counsel, I can just stipulate that</p> <p>19 we'll let you know what materials were added that I guess</p> <p>20 she just got in the last couple of days that you haven't</p> <p>21 got a chance to review. And we can narrow down for you</p> <p>22 anything that -- that she hasn't reviewed as of today's</p> <p>23 date in preparation of her opinions.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: I'm not so much worried about what's</p> <p>25 been submitted to her the last couple of days. I more</p>	<p>1 looked at is on this drive. We just need to tell you</p> <p>2 basically what --</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Yeah.</p> <p>4 MR. WES: -- is on this drive that -- that she --</p> <p>5 MR. JONES: There's the road.</p> <p>6 MR. WES: -- didn't necessarily look at.</p> <p>7 MR. JONES: There's the road. At the moment I</p> <p>8 have no way --</p> <p>9 MR. WES: So we will narrow that down for you.</p> <p>10 Whether it makes more sense to produce another one of</p> <p>11 these -- probably it makes more sense for us to just tell</p> <p>12 you, you know, here's the items on the drive.</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: Okay. And you'll endeavor to do</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: We will do that.</p> <p>16 MR. JONES: Okay. I appreciate that.</p> <p>17 Q. So it sounds like once we get a list of materials</p> <p>18 that are on this thumb drive that you actually reviewed</p> <p>19 then we can look at that list and decipher here's medical</p> <p>20 literature that you actually reviewed, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. But at the moment everything on this thumb</p> <p>23 drive you didn't review?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know that I have.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>
Page 59	Page 61
<p>1 want to get the universe of what she reviewed --</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Sure.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: -- versus, you know, all the stuff</p> <p>4 that you sent out to her.</p> <p>5 MR. WES: Yes.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: Can you endeavor to produce a list or</p> <p>7 a thumb drive with materials that she actually looked at</p> <p>8 so I can know what she's relying on for her opinions?</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Yeah. We can narrow down -- you know,</p> <p>10 if there's anything that goes --</p> <p>11 MR. SNOWDEN: Those are two different questions.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Yeah, you can answer them both if you</p> <p>13 want.</p> <p>14 MR. WES: Right. And so we'll -- I mean, we will</p> <p>15 give you the entire universe of what she's reviewed and</p> <p>16 what are -- how are the questions -- what's your second</p> <p>17 question?</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: It's almost an either/or question.</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Okay.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: I either need a list of what she</p> <p>21 actually did look at --</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Right.</p> <p>23 MR. JONES: -- or a thumb drive of what she</p> <p>24 actually looked at.</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Right. And so everything that she</p>	<p>1 A. I may have. I don't know.</p> <p>2 Q. There's just no way to tell?</p> <p>3 A. I just know that they've sent things that I have</p> <p>4 not reviewed, and I assume they're on that drive.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. We touched on this earlier, but I need to</p> <p>6 go back to it.</p> <p>7 Internal Ethicon documents, did you review any?</p> <p>8 A. I may have, and if I did, they will be on that</p> <p>9 disc.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. But at the moment there may be Ethicon</p> <p>11 documents on this thumb drive that you didn't review?</p> <p>12 A. I don't think so. I just don't recall.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. If I have them. If I -- I think I've seen some.</p> <p>17 Again, I'm not sure what -- I don't want to sound</p> <p>18 ignorant, but I'm not really sure what you're asking me</p> <p>19 when you say "internal documents," quite honestly.</p> <p>20 Q. Testing that Ethicon ran.</p> <p>21 A. I think I've seen some of that, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. You think you have?</p> <p>23 A. I think so, yeah.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Ethicon e-mails?</p> <p>25 A. No. I've not seen any e-mails that I'm aware of.</p>

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 Q. Have not reviewed a single e-mail?</p> <p>2 A. I don't think so, no.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you have any recall of what type of testing</p> <p>4 documents from Ethicon you reviewed?</p> <p>5 A. Not at this point. No, not at this time.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And no recall of the specific medical</p> <p>7 literature that you reviewed?</p> <p>8 A. Not specific. I read a lot of long-term</p> <p>9 follow-up studies of mesh material. I've reviewed medical</p> <p>10 literature related to colporrhaphy procedures, medical</p> <p>11 literature concerning indications for performing these</p> <p>12 surgeries, some of the urologic society's statements about</p> <p>13 recommendations for these procedures. Those are the kinds</p> <p>14 of things that I reviewed.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Do you recall reviewing any literature</p> <p>16 that would be contrary to the opinions you're giving in</p> <p>17 this case?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know of any literature</p> <p>20 that would be -- that would be contrary to what I'm -- to</p> <p>21 my pathology findings. None, no.</p> <p>22 MR. JONES: Q. What about to your any findings</p> <p>23 beyond your pathology findings?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what you're</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: I've reviewed literature addressing</p> <p>2 shrinkage of mesh ex vivo, invitro, not in the body. I</p> <p>3 don't know that I've read about any shrinkage in the body.</p> <p>4 That's why I brought it back to pathology.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay.</p> <p>6 A. But other than that I don't remember or recall</p> <p>7 any specific literature, but I know that there's</p> <p>8 discussion about --</p> <p>9 Q. Are you familiar with any pathology articles by</p> <p>10 Vladimir Iakolov (phonetic)?</p> <p>11 A. How do you spell that?</p> <p>12 Q. I don't know. Does it ring a bell, though, at</p> <p>13 all?</p> <p>14 A. I don't -- well, I don't know. I don't think so.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. But I might. If you spelled it, maybe I would</p> <p>17 know who it was. Is it with a Y?</p> <p>18 Q. It's with an I. I'll take a guess and say it's</p> <p>19 I-A-K-O-L --</p> <p>20 A. I don't know.</p> <p>21 Q. -- O-V.</p> <p>22 A. It's possible. It will be on there.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you review plaintiff's independent medical</p> <p>24 examination?</p> <p>25 A. Who was that?</p>
Page 63	Page 65
<p>1 asking.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. Well --</p> <p>3 A. I'm sure there's something you're asking me, but</p> <p>4 I don't know what it is.</p> <p>5 Q. You limited it to your pathology findings, which</p> <p>6 makes me wonder are there other findings that you're</p> <p>7 speaking about?</p> <p>8 A. Oh, no. No, I think that -- well, I mean, let's</p> <p>9 just cut to the chase. You are talking about some studies</p> <p>10 that have shown shrinkage of the mesh, and I see no</p> <p>11 evidence of shrinkage. So in vivo I don't see -- once</p> <p>12 it's in the body, the issue of shrinkage appears to me</p> <p>13 based on my readings and what I've seen on the slides a</p> <p>14 moot issue. Not significant.</p> <p>15 There may well be, and I have seen at least some</p> <p>16 of these studies that talk about shrinkage. Whether that</p> <p>17 has any relevance clinically in this case and in this</p> <p>18 particular patient or in general at this site, I'm not --</p> <p>19 I don't necessarily see that it transfers over. So that</p> <p>20 is my opinion.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. But that's it.</p> <p>23 Q. So you have reviewed literature that concludes</p> <p>24 that the mesh shrinks?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Object to form.</p>	<p>1 Q. I think Dr. Margolis.</p> <p>2 A. I reviewed his -- not his deposition, no. I read</p> <p>3 parts of it, but I don't think I've received that yet.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. Or maybe that's what I received. I've not read</p> <p>6 it. If I have received it, I have not read it</p> <p>7 MR. WES: And listen carefully to what he asked.</p> <p>8 He asked if you reviewed her -- his independent medical</p> <p>9 examination, not his deposition specifically.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Oh. What's his independent medical</p> <p>11 examination? Oh. Oh, I don't know that I've -- I may</p> <p>12 have. He took some photographs. I did see those.</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So it sounds like you have reviewed</p> <p>16 plaintiff's independent medical examination --</p> <p>17 A. I may have.</p> <p>18 Q. -- by Dr. Margolis?</p> <p>19 A. I may have. I've seen the pictures anyway.</p> <p>20 Q. And you reviewed statements from professional</p> <p>21 societies like AUGS?</p> <p>22 A. Is this A-U-G-S that you're talking about? Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Yes.</p> <p>24 Counsel provided those statements to you?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 Q. Did you do an independent literature search?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. All the literature counsel provided to you that</p> <p>4 you've reviewed?</p> <p>5 A. What is the question?</p> <p>6 Q. Did you review any literature beyond what counsel</p> <p>7 provided to you?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. So it's fair to say all of the literature that</p> <p>10 you've reviewed in forming your opinions in this case has</p> <p>11 been provided by Ethicon's counsel?</p> <p>12 MR. WES: Objection to form, misstates.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Well, my opinion's also based on</p> <p>14 sort of, you know, my general pathology and GYN pathology</p> <p>15 background and training as well, but I didn't go out and</p> <p>16 actively look for an article on transvaginal mesh.</p> <p>17 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. I may have requested articles from Mr. Snowden.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you have any recall of what those articles</p> <p>20 were?</p> <p>21 A. No. It would have been general -- not a specific</p> <p>22 article, but just general topics, but no, I have not</p> <p>23 pulled -- there's not anything that I've reviewed that's</p> <p>24 not on that disc.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Will you be testifying about any TVT</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the law firm Butler</p> <p>2 Snow?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Have you worked with the law firm Butler</p> <p>5 Snow in the past beyond this particular case?</p> <p>6 A. I don't believe so, no.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know William Gage?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you know Burt Snell?</p> <p>10 A. S-N-E-L-L. I'm aware of the name. I don't know</p> <p>11 if I have, actually.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. I don't think I've met him, but whether I've</p> <p>14 talked to him or not, I don't know.</p> <p>15 Q. And then the third law firm listed Bowman and</p> <p>16 Brooke, LLP. Are you familiar with that law firm?</p> <p>17 A. Actually, I don't think I am.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So you haven't worked for them in the</p> <p>19 past?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Okay. I'll put those away. We'll</p> <p>22 mark as Exhibit L-7 an invoice related to this case.</p> <p>23 Go ahead and hand this to you.</p> <p>24 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-7 was marked for</p> <p>25 identification.)</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 products other than Abbrevio?</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'm really necessarily</p> <p>4 testifying about Abbrevio except in this particular</p> <p>5 example.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: Okay.</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Are we doing okay? We've gone about an</p> <p>8 hour and a half. Do you want to take a break?</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Well, if not now, soon.</p> <p>10 MR. JONES: Let's take a break.</p> <p>11 (Short break taken.)</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: All right. We're back on the record</p> <p>13 from a quick break.</p> <p>14 I want to mark for the record Exhibit L-5, which</p> <p>15 is the deposition notice, Exhibit L-6, which are the</p> <p>16 response and objections filed to the deposition notice.</p> <p>17 (Whereupon, Exhibits L-5 and L-6 were marked</p> <p>18 for identification.)</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. If you look real quickly at</p> <p>20 Exhibit L-6, on the top left there's some law firms</p> <p>21 mentioned. Do you recognize the law firm of Tucker Ellis,</p> <p>22 Doctor?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Have you worked for Tucker Ellis in the past?</p> <p>25 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p>	<p>1 MR. JONES: Q. What does Exhibit L-7 represent?</p> <p>2 A. It's an invoice that my administrative assistant</p> <p>3 submitted to -- I'm not really sure where she submitted</p> <p>4 it, but she -- I think -- Johnson & Johnson ultimately, I</p> <p>5 think, foot the bill -- the invoice went to, but it says</p> <p>6 Butler/Snowden and Ethicon Gynecare Pelvic Mesh, but I</p> <p>7 think it actually ended up going to Johnson & Johnson.</p> <p>8 Q. And is that what you've billed for your time in</p> <p>9 this case so far?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>11 Q. And is that the totality of your time thus far</p> <p>12 that you've spent on this case?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. No?</p> <p>15 A. This is what I've billed.</p> <p>16 Q. This is what you've billed?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Can you estimate beyond what's represented in</p> <p>19 Exhibit L-7 how many hours you've spent on this case?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I can estimate.</p> <p>21 Q. And what is that estimate?</p> <p>22 A. Would you like that?</p> <p>23 Q. Yes, please.</p> <p>24 A. I think I would estimate about 24 hours in</p> <p>25 discussions with attorneys and then maybe another 50,</p>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 60 hours review of literature.</p> <p>2 Q. You say 50 to 60?</p> <p>3 A. That's an estimate. I could be off a little bit.</p> <p>4 My AA is keeping recent hours, but she didn't keep the</p> <p>5 early hours. And so I'm having to go find my notes on</p> <p>6 those, and I haven't found them yet.</p> <p>7 Q. And what are you charging per hour?</p> <p>8 A. \$500 an hour.</p> <p>9 Q. So 24 hours with attorneys, an estimate?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Fifty to 60 hours looking at medical literature,</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct, minimum. Perhaps a little bit more,</p> <p>14 correct.</p> <p>15 Q. How about review of medical records?</p> <p>16 A. That's included.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So 80ish -- around 80 hours thus far</p> <p>18 you've spent working on this case?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, minimum.</p> <p>20 Q. A minimum of 80 hours so far you've spent working</p> <p>21 on this case?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. At \$500 an hour?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. So we can take your per hour fee, times it times</p>	<p>1 A. It might be by day. I just don't -- I honestly</p> <p>2 do not remember.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. That's common. In addition to the \$500 an</p> <p>4 hour that you charge to work on this case, you're also</p> <p>5 reimbursed for travel and other costs associated with this</p> <p>6 case?</p> <p>7 A. Only for testimony.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. There's no other -- yeah, only for testimony.</p> <p>10 Q. So \$500 an hour to review records and medical</p> <p>11 literature, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. \$500 an hour to meet with attorneys and discuss</p> <p>14 the case?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And then a separate fee for trial testimony,</p> <p>17 correct?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And then reimbursement of travel expenses, for</p> <p>20 example, to trial and if you are called to testify?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Does that represent the total universe of</p> <p>23 the fees that you'll be charging in this case?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Doctor, do you have a field of specialty inside</p>
Page 71	Page 73
<p>1 the estimated hours you've spent on this case and get an</p> <p>2 estimate of the total fees you will collect in this case?</p> <p>3 A. Well, that I will bill for them.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Will you be charging \$500 an hour for your</p> <p>7 deposition testimony?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you have a different fee for trial testimony?</p> <p>10 A. I believe I do, and I do not recall that right</p> <p>11 now.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. My AA has that.</p> <p>14 Q. That's something you'd be willing to provide,</p> <p>15 though?</p> <p>16 A. Absolutely, yes. And I really should have</p> <p>17 brought it, but I forgot. I knew you would ask that.</p> <p>18 Q. Sometime prior to trial --</p> <p>19 A. Definitely.</p> <p>20 Q. -- we'll get a copy of that, though.</p> <p>21 Is it more or less than \$500 an hour?</p> <p>22 A. Well, I think it includes travel time. I really</p> <p>23 don't recall.</p> <p>24 Q. You don't have any recall of whether it's more or</p> <p>25 less than your \$500 an hour fee?</p>	<p>1 of the field of pathology?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. What is that area of specialty?</p> <p>4 A. Broadly speaking it's surgical pathology, but</p> <p>5 within the realm of surgical pathology, I'm a gynecologic</p> <p>6 pathology and GI pathology subspecialist.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you have a major emphasis in a particular area</p> <p>8 related to cancer?</p> <p>9 A. Most of my research is centered around GYN or GI</p> <p>10 cancer, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Do you hold yourself out on a Stanford</p> <p>12 website to have a major emphasis in ovarian cancer and</p> <p>13 ovarian tumors?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Most of your research -- in fact, close to</p> <p>16 all of your research is related to cancer, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Not all -- not all of it.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. The majority of your research is</p> <p>20 related to cancer, correct?</p> <p>21 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: A substantial amount of my research</p> <p>23 is related to cancer.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever published an</p> <p>25 article relating to polypropylene?</p>

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Have you ever published an article related to</p> <p>3 stress urinary incontinence?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Have you ever published an article related to</p> <p>6 pelvic mesh?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. So your area of specialty is not pelvic mesh,</p> <p>9 correct?</p> <p>10 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: That's correct. I am not a pelvic</p> <p>12 mesh product expert --</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 A. -- or focused on that in research.</p> <p>15 Q. Have you published any articles on mesh</p> <p>16 complications?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Have you taught any courses related to</p> <p>19 polypropylene?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Made any presentations related to polypropylene?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Taught any courses related to pelvic mesh?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Made any presentations related to pelvic mesh?</p>	<p>1 asking me was there a formal seminar or meeting to discuss</p> <p>2 these?</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. I'll break it down. First I'll</p> <p>4 ask you related to formal seminars or meetings.</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Informal discussions?</p> <p>7 A. None that I'm aware of.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So since 1996 as a member of the</p> <p>9 International Society of Gynecological Pathologists, you</p> <p>10 have no recall whether in formal meetings or informal</p> <p>11 conversations of complications resulting from transvaginal</p> <p>12 mesh?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: That's correct.</p> <p>15 MR. JONES: Q. Would that hold true for these</p> <p>16 other societies that you're a member of?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Again, there's no -- I'm not aware</p> <p>19 of any discussions. I don't go to all these meetings.</p> <p>20 There may have been one that occurred, but nothing that</p> <p>21 I'm aware of or attended or even recall being posted that</p> <p>22 one would be -- there would be one.</p> <p>23 MR. JONES: Q. If you turn to page 4 under</p> <p>24 "Editorial Board," you've listed several journals that you</p> <p>25 serve on the Editorial Board for; is that correct?</p>
Page 75	Page 77
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Have you reviewed any material safety data sheets</p> <p>3 in this case?</p> <p>4 A. What are -- I may have. I'm not sure what a</p> <p>5 material safety data sheet is.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 MR. JONES: I'm going to mark as Exhibit L-8 a</p> <p>8 copy of your CV, which I will give you.</p> <p>9 (Whereupon, Exhibit L-8 was marked for</p> <p>10 identification.)</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. I just have a few questions about</p> <p>12 your CV. Under professional memberships, page 2, you've</p> <p>13 been a member of the International Society of</p> <p>14 Gynecological Pathologists since 1996, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. Since you've been a member of that organization</p> <p>17 since 1996, has there ever been a discussion of</p> <p>18 polypropylene mesh used in mid -- I'll rephrase the</p> <p>19 question.</p> <p>20 Since you've been a member of the International</p> <p>21 Society of Gynecological Pathologists, has there ever been</p> <p>22 a discussion of complications resulting from transvaginal</p> <p>23 mesh?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form, overbroad.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: When you ask this question, are you</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Since 1996 you've served on the Editorial Board</p> <p>3 of the International Journal of Gynecological Pathology?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have any recall of ever seeing a single</p> <p>6 article related to mesh complications in your role as an</p> <p>7 editor on the International Journal of Gynecological</p> <p>8 Pathology?</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: No, I've never reviewed an article</p> <p>11 on that.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. Have you ever reviewed an article</p> <p>13 on mesh complications?</p> <p>14 A. For publication?</p> <p>15 Q. (Nods head.)</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. In your role as an editor on these journals, have</p> <p>18 you ever reviewed an article related to polypropylene?</p> <p>19 A. No, or at least not that I remember.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Then you have Journal Ad Hoc Reviewers,</p> <p>21 and you have listed the American Journal of Obstetrics and</p> <p>22 Gynecology, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And so you've reviewed articles that are being</p> <p>25 submitted for publications in that journal?</p>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to</p> <p>3 polypropylene?</p> <p>4 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>5 Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to</p> <p>6 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>7 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.</p> <p>8 Q. Have you ever reviewed any articles related to</p> <p>9 mesh complications?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. If you go to page 8. You've listed courses that</p> <p>12 you've taught, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And at the very bottom there's a course with the</p> <p>15 date 2013 called "Human Health and Disease," correct?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And that's within the gynecologic pathology</p> <p>18 field, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. I'm not seeing where you're referring to,</p> <p>20 but yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Page 8.</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Is this the version of the CV that we</p> <p>23 just gave you, or is this a different version?</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Could be a different version.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Must be.</p>	<p>1 Q. Have you taught any courses related to</p> <p>2 polypropylene ever?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Have you ever taught any courses related to</p> <p>5 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. On a copy of your CV that I have, it's</p> <p>8 page 26, you've listed quite a few articles where you have</p> <p>9 been an author, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And I have it as number 71. The title of the</p> <p>12 article is "Ovarian Carcinosarcomas Associated with</p> <p>13 Prolonged use of Tamoxifen."</p> <p>14 A. Correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you have -- and that was published in 2009?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you have a recall of the subject matter of</p> <p>18 that article?</p> <p>19 A. I think it was a report of some ovarian</p> <p>20 carcinosarcomas that occurred in patients who had been</p> <p>21 using Tamoxifen basically.</p> <p>22 Q. And what is Tamoxifen?</p> <p>23 A. It's a -- it's a hormonal -- really more agonist,</p> <p>24 slash, antagonist for estrogen that's being treated --</p> <p>25 women with breast cancer are treated with.</p>
Page 79	Page 81
<p>1 MR. WES: Because I think what we gave you was</p> <p>2 the most up-to-date CV.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Well, do you see on the CV</p> <p>4 you have a copy of where you've listed courses that you've</p> <p>5 taught?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And you've listed a course for 2013 called "Human</p> <p>8 Health and Disease," correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Within the gynecologic pathology --</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. -- correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. What did that course entail?</p> <p>15 A. Oh, this is a medical student course, so it's</p> <p>16 about basic endometrial -- basic uterine service and</p> <p>17 vulvar and vaginal pathology.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 A. That's what it's about.</p> <p>20 Q. Any discussion of polypropylene in that course?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Mesh complications?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>1 Q. Is it a drug that's been cleared by the FDA?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. It's been on the market for 40 years,</p> <p>4 thereabout?</p> <p>5 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: It's been on the market for a</p> <p>7 while.</p> <p>8 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And in what article did</p> <p>9 you find an association between Tamoxifen and ovarian</p> <p>10 sarcomas?</p> <p>11 A. There was possible association between ovarian</p> <p>12 carcinosarcomas and Tamoxifen. And that was what he was</p> <p>13 reporting, the first author, Oscar Lavie.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Did you also -- was there also a finding</p> <p>15 that in that article that it was a delayed response to the</p> <p>16 Tamoxifen?</p> <p>17 A. I don't know that it's a -- a delayed occurrence.</p> <p>18 And actually probably not even delayed. I mean, it's</p> <p>19 basically prolonged use.</p> <p>20 Q. Then you have listed some books and book chapters</p> <p>21 in preparation in your CV, correct?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And number one, you list yourself as chief editor</p> <p>24 of Gynecologic Pathology, eMedicine from WebMD, correct?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 Q. Has that -- is that still in preparation, or has 2 that been published yet? 3 A. It's still in preparation. 4 Q. Okay. Is there any discussion within that book 5 of polypropylene? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Transvaginal mesh? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Mesh complications? 10 A. No. 11 Q. That's all the questions I have about your CV. 12 You can put that away. 13 Do you specialize in how the body reacts to 14 polypropylene? 15 MR. WES: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: As a pathologist I have expertise 17 in interpreting tissue response to foreign material in 18 general, and that would include polypropylene. 19 MR. JONES: Q. In your experience as a 20 pathologist, are mesh explants stored in any type of 21 material to preserve them? 22 A. They're often submitted -- because there's 23 associated tissue at some level with them, they're often 24 submitted in formalin fixative. 25 Q. Okay. Is that the customary practice that you</p>	<p>1 you've given in your role as an expert witness? 2 A. I think less than 50, but it may be somewhere in 3 that range. 4 Q. How many times have you testified at trial? 5 A. Maybe half a dozen. Not that often. 6 Q. Do your fees for your litigation consulting and 7 expert work make up a significant amount of your salary 8 and revenue? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Have you ever acted as an expert prior to this 11 case on transvaginal mesh? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Hernia mesh? 14 A. No. 15 Q. Have you ever worked for Johnson & Johnson prior 16 to this case? 17 A. I don't think so, but it's a big company with a 18 bunch of subsidiaries so... 19 Q. It is. 20 A. But not that I know. 21 Q. How about Ethicon? 22 A. No. 23 Q. In this case did you conduct any testing 24 yourself? 25 A. Other than that S-100 immunohistochemical stain,</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 see as a pathologist? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you have any opinions about that subject 4 matter that you'll be giving in this case, specifically 5 related to the formalin that it's preserved in? 6 MR. WES: Object to form, vague. 7 THE WITNESS: I think we touched a little bit on 8 it earlier in that formalin shrinks tissue. 9 MR. JONES: Q. What about mesh? How does the 10 formalin affect mesh? 11 MR. WES: Same objection. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know how formalin 13 necessarily affects mesh. 14 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. 15 A. To the extent that there's tissue attached, there 16 would be shrinkage as well, but actual mesh material in 17 interaction with formalin, I don't know. 18 Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to 19 degradation of mesh in this case? 20 A. No, I will not. 21 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope. 22 MR. JONES: Q. I want to ask you a series of 23 questions about your experience as a litigation consultant 24 or expert. 25 Can you give an estimate of how many depositions</p>	<p>1 no. 2 Q. Are there peroxides that are naturally present 3 inside the vagina? 4 A. I'm not sure what that -- what you're asking. 5 Q. Okay. Is the vagina a highly acidic area? 6 MR. WES: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: It has a low ph. I don't know if 8 it's highly acidic. And the ph can change depending upon 9 the flora that's there and whether patients taking 10 antibiotic use, et cetera. 11 MR. JONES: Q. Do you have expertise in the 12 flora or peroxides or ph balance of the vagina? 13 A. That's not -- 14 MR. WES: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: -- within the realm of my opinion 16 in this case. 17 MR. JONES: Q. Perfect. Does the inflammatory 18 response of transvaginal mesh ever stop? 19 A. Well, so with any foreign body there will 20 always -- to the best of my knowledge in all my 21 experience, there's always a sort of persistent thin 22 layer, and in some instances it may be even thicker of 23 lymphocytes and macrophages associated with that foreign 24 material. And that would include mesh, yes. 25 How active that is in terms of causing</p>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 symptomatology is not so certain. The cells are obviously</p> <p>2 alive and viable, but how much they're really doing other</p> <p>3 than just standing guard, if you will.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. I'm going to name a few articles related</p> <p>5 to the inflammatory response to transvaginal mesh or</p> <p>6 hernia mesh and ask you if they ring a bell. Are you</p> <p>7 familiar with a Cobb article?</p> <p>8 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: It would be so much easier if we</p> <p>10 had the articles.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. If -- I'll tell you what. If --</p> <p>12 once we get a list of the articles that you actually</p> <p>13 looked at, then I'd gladly be -- would ask you about</p> <p>14 those. But I'm just trying to get --</p> <p>15 A. I know.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Does the name Kosterhalfen ring any bells?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, that does.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: Q. What's your recall of Bernard</p> <p>21 Kosterhalfen?</p> <p>22 A. I'm not sure right now. I don't remember.</p> <p>23 Q. It's a pretty unique name, and it rings a bell --</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. -- and you know you've reviewed something related</p>	<p>1 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Well, polypropylene is used in</p> <p>4 suture material and other mesh materials and maybe other</p> <p>5 things, but those are the only two that come to mind right</p> <p>6 now.</p> <p>7 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Do you know if it's used</p> <p>8 in fishing line?</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: My son would know.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: I'll move on.</p> <p>12 Q. Will you be giving any opinions related to</p> <p>13 cytotoxicity in this case?</p> <p>14 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Not -- no, not -- other than what</p> <p>16 I've already talked about in terms of the inflammatory</p> <p>17 response, no, not specifically.</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: Q. Did you review any Ethicon</p> <p>19 testing related to the cytotoxicity of the mesh used in</p> <p>20 the TVT Abbrevo device?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: And no, I don't recall specifically</p> <p>24 reviewing any cytotoxicity.</p> <p>25 MR. JONES: Q. Would cytotoxicity testing of the</p>
Page 87	Page 89
<p>1 to Klosterhalfen?</p> <p>2 A. I think I have, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. We talked about Iakolov, I-A-K-O-L-O-V, perhaps</p> <p>4 on the spelling. He's a pathologist who has written some</p> <p>5 articles related to transvaginal mesh. Does that ring a</p> <p>6 bell at all?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: It's not ringing any bell right</p> <p>9 now, but it doesn't mean I didn't review it. But I</p> <p>10 don't -- I don't recollect it right now.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. What about Todd Heniford?</p> <p>12 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about the name.</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: Q. What about Uwe Klinge?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: That name is familiar.</p> <p>17 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. This is Klinge?</p> <p>19 Q. Yes.</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Are you aware that polypropylene is used in</p> <p>22 products besides transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Do you know what products -- can you name a few</p> <p>25 products in which polypropylene is used in besides</p>	<p>1 mesh used in the TVT Abbrevo device be something that you</p> <p>2 would want to look at in helping you form your opinions in</p> <p>3 this case?</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: No, it wouldn't -- I don't think</p> <p>6 that would have any influence on my opinions on the slides</p> <p>7 and the tissue, no.</p> <p>8 MR. JONES: Q. Did you keep any notes when you</p> <p>9 worked on this case?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Any e-mail folders that you created specifically</p> <p>12 in response to this case?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Any file folders?</p> <p>15 A. No. I have boxes with these but no file folders.</p> <p>16 Q. Are you a paper person or an electronic person</p> <p>17 when you reviewed these deposition testimony, medical</p> <p>18 records?</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Mostly I've read the paper.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Q. Did anybody help you work on this</p> <p>22 case?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. No assistants?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 Q. Or fellow doctors?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. Have you set up a corporation to accept payments</p> <p>4 for your litigation consulting work?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Before rendering your opinions in this case, did</p> <p>7 you speak with any pelvic floor surgeons at Stanford</p> <p>8 University?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you know of any of the pelvic floor surgeons</p> <p>11 at Stanford University?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. I mean, you're aware that Stanford has a highly</p> <p>14 respected pelvic floor surgery clinic, correct?</p> <p>15 A. I would suspect they do.</p> <p>16 Q. With well respected surgeons who make up that</p> <p>17 clinic, right?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Do you know Lisa Rogo-Gupta?</p> <p>20 A. No, I don't know her.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Didn't talk to her at all --</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. -- before you gave your opinions in this case?</p> <p>24 A. Don't even know her.</p> <p>25 Q. How about Eric Sokol?</p>	<p>1 A. Oh, he operated on occasion at Stanford.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. And I'm a GYN pathologist.</p> <p>4 Q. So you worked with him?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know about with him, but I -- you know, I</p> <p>6 received pathology materials that he removed.</p> <p>7 Q. What pathology materials were those?</p> <p>8 A. Oh, I don't remember. They were GYN path, but</p> <p>9 that was so long ago, I don't remember. And there were</p> <p>10 discussions that I had, but I have no recollection of the</p> <p>11 contents of them. But I definitely remembered him as</p> <p>12 being a surgeon that was at Stanford.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you have any criticisms of his expertise in</p> <p>14 the field of urogynecology?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: He's not an expert in GYN</p> <p>17 pathology.</p> <p>18 MR. JONES: Q. Any other criticisms?</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Nothing that I want to say right</p> <p>21 now.</p> <p>22 MR. JONES: Q. Right now. Are these criticisms</p> <p>23 that you might share at trial?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. You just want to keep those personal, to</p>
Page 91	Page 93
<p>1 A. I know of him, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you talk to him before you rendered your</p> <p>3 opinions in this case?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have any knowledge of his work on</p> <p>6 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. You didn't review any articles he wrote about</p> <p>9 transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. We talked about Dr. Margolis earlier. You're</p> <p>12 familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've read his</p> <p>13 deposition, correct?</p> <p>14 MR. WES: Object to form, misstates the</p> <p>15 testimony.</p> <p>16 MR. JONES: I'll rephrase the question.</p> <p>17 Q. You're familiar with Dr. Margolis in that you've</p> <p>18 reviewed pictures Dr. Margolis took of Ms. Perry?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you know Dr. Margolis used to work at</p> <p>21 Stanford University?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know Dr. Margolis?</p> <p>24 A. I've interacted with him.</p> <p>25 Q. What do those interactions entail?</p>	<p>1 yourself?</p> <p>2 A. I think so.</p> <p>3 Q. You realize he set up the urogynecological --</p> <p>4 urogynecology and pelvic reconstructive surgery clinic at</p> <p>5 Stanford, correct?</p> <p>6 A. No, I didn't realize that.</p> <p>7 Q. You didn't know that?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Can I get an objection, form,</p> <p>10 foundation on the last one?</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. And you know that Dr. Margolis is</p> <p>12 an expert witness for the plaintiff in this case?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I do know that.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not the</p> <p>15 Stanford urogynecology clinic specializes in treating mesh</p> <p>16 complications?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Have you reviewed any videos</p> <p>20 posted by the Stanford urogynecology clinic that have been</p> <p>21 posted online?</p> <p>22 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Did you notify the pathology department at</p> <p>24 Stanford that you would be acting as an expert in this</p> <p>25 case?</p>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 94</p> <p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Do any other doctors at Stanford know that you're</p> <p>3 acting as an expert in this case?</p> <p>4 A. No, I don't think they do.</p> <p>5 Q. I want to go back to real quickly -- we talked</p> <p>6 about smoking and diet and diabetes earlier and how it</p> <p>7 relates to wound healing, and I want to focus on the diet.</p> <p>8 Have you reviewed records in this case related to</p> <p>9 Ms. Perry that discuss certain diets she was on?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And what did those records say?</p> <p>12 MR. WES: Object to form. The records will speak</p> <p>13 for themselves.</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: Q. Do you have any recollection of</p> <p>15 it?</p> <p>16 A. I do recall it, yes. It was -- sounded like an</p> <p>17 odd diet to me.</p> <p>18 Q. An odd diet?</p> <p>19 A. And it sounded -- yeah, but most diets sound a</p> <p>20 little odd to me, to be quite honest, so -- but yeah, she</p> <p>21 was on a -- some special diet that she was trying to</p> <p>22 reduce her weight, correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. And it looked to me like it was really quite low</p> <p>25 on the calories.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 96</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: I think in all likelihood that it</p> <p>2 did contribute.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. It's possible that it didn't</p> <p>4 contribute, though, right?</p> <p>5 A. Of course.</p> <p>6 Q. It's possible that her smoking, you know, two</p> <p>7 cigarettes a week did not affect her healing capacity,</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: It's -- yes, it is possible.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. It's also possible that diabetes</p> <p>12 didn't impact the wound healing at all, correct?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Yes. All of these things are</p> <p>15 possible, but are we talking about possible or likely?</p> <p>16 And I think that these are all factors that have been</p> <p>17 established to impair wound healing, and if they're active</p> <p>18 in a particular patient who is having problems with wound</p> <p>19 healing, one would suspect that in all likelihood they</p> <p>20 were contributory factors.</p> <p>21 And that's sort of how medicine works. It's not</p> <p>22 an all or none absolute science. It's not like the</p> <p>23 non-medical sciences.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. Not two plus two equals four?</p> <p>25 A. Exactly. It's not mathematical.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 95</p> <p>1 Q. Oh, very low calorie intake diet?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. How you about protein? Was there a focus on the</p> <p>4 amount of protein in her diet?</p> <p>5 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for</p> <p>6 speculation.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't really specifically</p> <p>8 recall what all the components of the diet were.</p> <p>9 MR. JONES: Q. But you do specifically recall it</p> <p>10 was a low calorie intake diet?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. She was trying to reduce her weight?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And it was what you phrased as an odd diet?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And it's your opinion that that diet affected her</p> <p>17 ability to heal the wound from the TVT Abbrevio device?</p> <p>18 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that that</p> <p>20 significant reduction in calorie intake when she was</p> <p>21 having post surgery and wound repair may well have</p> <p>22 affected the healing, yes.</p> <p>23 MR. JONES: Q. It may well have not have</p> <p>24 affected the healing, though, too, right?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Object to form, argumentative.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 97</p> <p>1 Q. Did you focus at all -- when you looked at her</p> <p>2 diet in the association to wound healing, did you focus at</p> <p>3 all on the amount of protein in her diet?</p> <p>4 A. Not specifically.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Protein -- the amount of protein in your</p> <p>6 diet is related to wound healing as well, right?</p> <p>7 A. Oh, of course. Lots of things. But protein's</p> <p>8 important as well, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you have any recall of her not getting proper</p> <p>10 amount of protein in her diet?</p> <p>11 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: No, I can't address whether or not</p> <p>13 she had sufficient protein in her diet.</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: Q. When she was on this diet, was</p> <p>15 she malnourished?</p> <p>16 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by</p> <p>18 "malnourished." She may not have been given all the</p> <p>19 necessary nutrients.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: Q. Do you know one way or another</p> <p>21 whether she was getting the necessary amount of --</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. -- nutrients?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>25 MR. JONES: Q. You're not a nutritionist, right?</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 98</p> <p>1 A. Exactly.</p> <p>2 Q. So that's outside of the field of your expertise?</p> <p>3 A. Correct. Well --</p> <p>4 Q. Whether she was --</p> <p>5 A. -- specific nutritional questions are not part of</p> <p>6 my opinion.</p> <p>7 Q. Whether she was getting an adequate amount of</p> <p>8 nutrients in her diet is outside the field of your</p> <p>9 expertise?</p> <p>10 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: So my opinion is that she's got</p> <p>12 inadequate wound healing. Let's just reiterate that -- or</p> <p>13 insufficient. That wound is not healing. And what were</p> <p>14 the possible causes?</p> <p>15 One of them might be this drastic diet she went</p> <p>16 on. It's a pretty significant cut in calories, I suspect,</p> <p>17 for her, and that may have been a contributing factor.</p> <p>18 And I stand by that.</p> <p>19 Now, if you bring a nutritionist in and they say,</p> <p>20 well, that should be enough, it may be enough for somebody</p> <p>21 who is not trying to heal a wound, who is not diabetic,</p> <p>22 who is not with all these other factors. I think it gets</p> <p>23 to be a complicated issue, but that is an added insult in</p> <p>24 somebody who is already trying to heal postsurgical.</p> <p>25 In fact, they tell you to increase your nutrition</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 100</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: It's a matter of significant</p> <p>2 decrease as well as just the level. You know,</p> <p>3 physiologic, you know, you're sort of used to a certain</p> <p>4 level of intake. And when you do a drastic cut, that has</p> <p>5 a bigger effect than long term.</p> <p>6 I mean, a certain amount of nutrients may be</p> <p>7 healthy at some level, but when you do these sudden cuts,</p> <p>8 the body doesn't adapt that quickly. That's my point.</p> <p>9 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. It's not so much --</p> <p>10 A. It's not --</p> <p>11 Q. -- the amount of nutrients that she was getting,</p> <p>12 it's more the decrease in level of the nutrients perhaps</p> <p>13 due to the low calorie intake diet she was on?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. So that diet may be totally, although it seems</p> <p>17 odd, healthy, but I still say these sudden cuts are, you</p> <p>18 know -- those are the things -- a body doesn't react that</p> <p>19 fast to those. It takes awhile to get back to steady</p> <p>20 state, and that sudden drop could -- could impair wound</p> <p>21 healing, could impair -- you know, resistant to infection,</p> <p>22 all sorts of things, colds.</p> <p>23 Q. Could it cause an erosion of the mesh?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, because I'm not sure what you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 99</p> <p>1 because surgery -- any kind of -- this isn't that major of</p> <p>2 a surgery, I admit, but any kind of procedure actually</p> <p>3 increases catabolism so...</p> <p>4 MR. JONES: Q. Whether or not Ms. Perry was</p> <p>5 getting an adequate amount of nutrients in her diet is</p> <p>6 outside the field of your expertise? Yes or no?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: To the extent that I'm a physician,</p> <p>9 it's still within my area of expertise, but it's not my</p> <p>10 subspecialty, and I think that's what you're asking. So</p> <p>11 no, that's not something that I am a subspecialist in.</p> <p>12 It's not nutrition. That would be correct.</p> <p>13 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And you won't be giving an</p> <p>14 opinion that the diet Ms. Perry was on was not supplying</p> <p>15 her an adequate amount of nutrients, correct?</p> <p>16 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Adequate for what? See, that's the</p> <p>18 problem I'm having. Adequate for what?</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Adequate for wound healing.</p> <p>20 A. It may not have been.</p> <p>21 MR. WES: Objection.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: It may not have been.</p> <p>23 MR. JONES: Q. Do you know the level of</p> <p>24 nutrients that she was getting in her diet?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 101</p> <p>1 mean by "erosion of the mesh."</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. So in this case Ms. Perry was</p> <p>3 implanted with mesh?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And that mesh eroded through her vaginal tissue,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Well, see, that's -- yeah, that's</p> <p>9 kind of -- I think that's sort of the crux of the issue is</p> <p>10 that really -- I mean, what is mesh erosion and what</p> <p>11 causes that? And I'm not a hundred percent clear that I</p> <p>12 even understand that reading all the literature about</p> <p>13 that.</p> <p>14 Does mesh eventually come up against the</p> <p>15 vaginal tissues and erode or present itself? Yes, that</p> <p>16 is. But what's causing that? And in this particular</p> <p>17 case, the tissue that was removed, as I mentioned, shows a</p> <p>18 non-healing wound in the vaginal area of the mucosa, but</p> <p>19 beneath that is an area of submucosal, the normal</p> <p>20 submucosal in vaginal tissue that looks fine. And then</p> <p>21 the next layer down is where you see the mesh.</p> <p>22 So it's not clear that that mesh eroding up is</p> <p>23 what caused or was even causally related. In fact, I</p> <p>24 would argue it's not to that mucosal disruption. I think</p> <p>25 that's postsurgical wound healing that didn't</p>

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1 heal.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. Now, that may have eventually, you know --</p> <p>4 because when you have a non-healing wound, you end up</p> <p>5 getting a little bit of a depression, and that may have</p> <p>6 caused that mesh to become closer approximated to that --</p> <p>7 to the -- you know, the vaginal lumen.</p> <p>8 But you know, the mesh moving up and eroding the</p> <p>9 mucosa, I don't think that's what happened in this case.</p> <p>10 I think it's more that there's a non-healing wound, and</p> <p>11 eventually that mesh, you know, because of the non-healing</p> <p>12 wound became more approximated to the surface of the</p> <p>13 vagina.</p> <p>14 Q. You say you reviewed literature related to mesh</p> <p>15 erosions, right?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Fair to say that mesh has eroded in women</p> <p>18 who don't smoke?</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: So yeah, it's not -- he's telling</p> <p>21 us he's trying to say it's not part of my opinion. I</p> <p>22 think that's fair to say, but yeah, I'm not sure I've seen</p> <p>23 a very nice well-designed study for erosion and, you know,</p> <p>24 pathologic examination and risk factors. I don't think</p> <p>25 that that exists in the literature.</p>	<p>1 findings in the literature that transvaginal mesh caused</p> <p>2 pain in women?</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope,</p> <p>4 foundation.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: There are a lot of papers</p> <p>6 discussing pain in association with the transvaginal mesh.</p> <p>7 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>8 A. And possible hypotheses about what might be</p> <p>9 causing that pain, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Same for dyspareunia?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And you're aware that there's mesh still inside</p> <p>13 of Ms. Perry, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And you're aware that that mesh could erode</p> <p>16 again, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for</p> <p>18 speculation, outside the scope.</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving any opinions</p> <p>20 about recurring erosions in this case?</p> <p>21 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I'm not sure.</p> <p>23 Again, I'm not really sure what the question is.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. Well, you've made -- you've given</p> <p>25 opinions related to the wound healing following her mesh</p>
Page 103	Page 105
<p>1 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. You talked earlier about</p> <p>2 why Ms. Perry had the mesh explanted, and you said</p> <p>3 Ms. Perry wanted it explanted and Mr. Perry wanted it</p> <p>4 explanted. You didn't say Mr. Perry wanted it explanted,</p> <p>5 but you made a reference to Mr. Perry, right?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>8 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And flush that out.</p> <p>9 Explain what you meant when you brought up Mr. Perry</p> <p>10 related to the explant surgery.</p> <p>11 A. Well, when Mrs. Perry presented back to her</p> <p>12 physicians with her complaints of pain, there were two</p> <p>13 issues, one, that her husband was complaining of pain</p> <p>14 during intercourse of it shafted his penis, feeling</p> <p>15 something in the -- her anterior vaginal wall. That was</p> <p>16 his pain.</p> <p>17 Her pain was pain on entry predominantly,</p> <p>18 dyspareunia.</p> <p>19 Q. In your literature review of mesh erosions, did</p> <p>20 you see references that -- to mesh causing pain?</p> <p>21 A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that question?</p> <p>22 Q. Yeah. You reviewed literature related to mesh</p> <p>23 erosions, right?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Within your literature review, did you see</p>	<p>1 procedure, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. And you understand the mesh is still inside of</p> <p>4 her, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. So your opinions related to the wound healing</p> <p>7 following the mesh procedure are not going to be</p> <p>8 applicable to any future mesh complications, correct?</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for</p> <p>10 speculation.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Assuming there's no further</p> <p>12 problems with wound healing, then I would expect -- I</p> <p>13 guess I'm not still sure what you're asking me.</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: Q. Could there be wound healing</p> <p>15 impairment in the future for Ms. Perry?</p> <p>16 A. There might be, yes. I mean, she's already</p> <p>17 demonstrated impaired wound healing once, so it's possible</p> <p>18 that it could happen again. She did have a second</p> <p>19 surgical procedure presumably that is now well healed and</p> <p>20 won't break down again, but I don't -- you know, I can't</p> <p>21 say for certain that it wouldn't.</p> <p>22 It appears to me that based on at least the</p> <p>23 preliminary review of records that it was healing.</p> <p>24 Q. Have you ever had your opinions excluded by any</p> <p>25 jurisdiction?</p>

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 A. No, I don't think so.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. What does that mean when you're asking me that?</p> <p>4 Q. Have you ever offered opinions in a case and the</p> <p>5 judge has come back and excluded your opinions because</p> <p>6 they weren't relevant to the case?</p> <p>7 A. No. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Has a judge ever excluded your opinions on the</p> <p>9 bases of your lack of expertise?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Has the -- has a judge ever excluded your</p> <p>12 opinions on the bases that you've given opinions outside</p> <p>13 of the field of your expertise?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Will you be giving any opinions in this</p> <p>16 case as to industry bias in the medical device</p> <p>17 marketplace?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Object to form, outside the scope.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving any opinions</p> <p>21 as to industry bias in the medical literature?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. And when you reviewed the medical</p> <p>25 literature in this case, did you look and examine the bias</p>	<p>1 question again that he just asked and I responded to about</p> <p>2 the reliability of what?</p> <p>3 Yeah, can you read that back?</p> <p>4 (Record read.)</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I want to correct --</p> <p>6 answer that. The findings may be -- it's more -- it could</p> <p>7 be the findings, but it also would be the -- sort of the</p> <p>8 scientific evidence supporting their conclusions.</p> <p>9 MR. JONES: Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. Right. So some of the opinions stated kind of --</p> <p>11 that's based on their findings in part but --</p> <p>12 Q. One of the things you look at --</p> <p>13 A. So it's all of that. It's the entire package.</p> <p>14 It's not just the -- you know, the result section. It</p> <p>15 would be all of that. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. You want as much information as reasonably</p> <p>17 possible to assess the data in the article that you're</p> <p>18 reviewing?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And one of the pieces of information you would</p> <p>21 want is whether the authors were being paid by the company</p> <p>22 marketing the device that they're studying?</p> <p>23 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>24 MR. JONES: Q. Correct?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Foundation.</p>
Page 107	Page 109
<p>1 of the authors?</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Same objection. Also, foundation,</p> <p>3 calls for speculation.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't use the word "bias," but</p> <p>5 generally when I review any scientific paper, I try to</p> <p>6 take note of who the authors are even though obviously I</p> <p>7 can't always recall their names but the centers that</p> <p>8 they're associated with.</p> <p>9 I also pay attention to the journal that it's</p> <p>10 published in, whether peer reviewed, and if I can discern</p> <p>11 whether it's a respected journal. They have different</p> <p>12 levels of peer review journals.</p> <p>13 So yes, I do that. And that's probably a better</p> <p>14 way than just call it bias because we want to be sure that</p> <p>15 people are presenting good data.</p> <p>16 Q. The reason why you examine all those factors that</p> <p>17 you discussed because it helps you form a judgment as to</p> <p>18 the reliability of the findings in the article, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Q. And -- and do you examine --</p> <p>22 strike that.</p> <p>23 A. Wait --</p> <p>24 Q. It's something that you would -- go ahead.</p> <p>25 A. So wait a second. Why don't you -- repeat that</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: I pay -- I pay attention to those</p> <p>2 issues as well, yes. That doesn't necessarily imply that</p> <p>3 their findings are unreliable, but no, I definitely pay</p> <p>4 attention to those things.</p> <p>5 MR. JONES: Q. But it's something you would want</p> <p>6 to know absolutely?</p> <p>7 A. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And when you reviewed literature, you talked</p> <p>9 about long-term studies earlier, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you notice anything in those long-term</p> <p>12 studies where the authors were paid by companies that were</p> <p>13 marketing the very products that were discussed in the</p> <p>14 study?</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the</p> <p>16 scope.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Yes. So no, there are -- there</p> <p>18 were -- I don't recall specific -- which specifics, but</p> <p>19 there certainly are some of those, and that happens in all</p> <p>20 the literature of course.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Q. And did you examine whether the</p> <p>22 authors in some of those long-term studies were the</p> <p>23 inventors of the products that they were reporting on?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>25 MR. JONES: Why would it be important when you're</p>

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p> <p>1 reviewing medical literature to help form your opinions in</p> <p>2 this case to examine if the authors were, in fact, the</p> <p>3 inventors of the product they were reporting on?</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for</p> <p>5 speculation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Well, it always places things in</p> <p>7 context, but you know, other than that -- yeah, you know,</p> <p>8 it's good to know these things, but they don't necessarily</p> <p>9 impact -- they may, but they don't necessarily impact the</p> <p>10 validity or dis-validity, if you will, of their findings.</p> <p>11 MR. JONES: Q. When you've served on editorial</p> <p>12 boards for medical journals, have you required that the</p> <p>13 author submit disclosures related to how much money</p> <p>14 they've been paid by companies that they're reporting on?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: All the journals that I review</p> <p>18 require disclosures. I don't know that if it's the exact</p> <p>19 dollar amount, but you know, full disclosure is required</p> <p>20 before publication.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Q. Have you made comments online</p> <p>22 related to industry bias in the medical device</p> <p>23 marketplace?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the</p> <p>25 scope.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p> <p>1 (Short break taken.)</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: We're back on the record. That's all</p> <p>3 the questioning I have for you, Doctor. I'll now pass the</p> <p>4 witness.</p> <p>5 EXAMINATION BY MS. COTA</p> <p>6 MS. COTA: Q. Good afternoon, Doctor Longacre.</p> <p>7 My name is Laura Cota. I don't think I introduced myself</p> <p>8 earlier. I apologize for that. Our firm represents</p> <p>9 Dr. Luu in this matter, and I have just a few questions</p> <p>10 for you. I'm going to try not to repeat any of the</p> <p>11 questions that counsel has already asked, but I may. And</p> <p>12 if I do, I'm going to apologize for that in advance.</p> <p>13 We spoke in the beginning of counsel's</p> <p>14 questioning about the documents you have reviewed in your</p> <p>15 work on this case, and I just want to clarify, you</p> <p>16 mentioned that you've reviewed some of plaintiff's medical</p> <p>17 records. Did you review the plaintiff's record -- or I'm</p> <p>18 sorry, Ms. Perry -- Ms. Perry's records from Dr. Luu?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>20 Q. And did you review Dr. Luu's complete chart for</p> <p>21 Ms. Perry or just portions of it?</p> <p>22 A. I think I reviewed most, if not all, of the</p> <p>23 chart. I think I was given most of it, and I think I</p> <p>24 reviewed most of it.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And how about -- are you familiar with</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. You haven't?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Do you have social media accounts?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. You don't?</p> <p>7 A. No. Well, what do you mean? Which kind?</p> <p>8 Q. Are you on Twitter?</p> <p>9 A. No. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Facebook?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. LinkedIn?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Have you made any comments online related</p> <p>15 to concerns about marketing in the medical device</p> <p>16 marketplace?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, outside the</p> <p>18 scope.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>20 MR. JONES: Okay. I think that may be all the</p> <p>21 questions I have. I want to go off record, take a</p> <p>22 ten-minute break and then sounds like there may be some</p> <p>23 more questions. We'll go figure that out. Does that</p> <p>24 sound like a good plan?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Sounds good.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p> <p>1 Dr. Allen and his involvement with Ms. Perry?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And did you review Dr. Allen's chart of</p> <p>4 Ms. Perry?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And how about Dr. Singh? Are you aware of</p> <p>7 Dr. Singh's involvement with Ms. Perry?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And did you review Dr. Singh's chart --</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. -- of Ms. Perry?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>13 Q. And you're aware that Ms. Perry had the procedure</p> <p>14 performed by Dr. Luu at San Joaquin Community Hospital?</p> <p>15 A. I don't specifically remember the name of the</p> <p>16 hospital.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you recall if you reviewed the hospital</p> <p>18 records pertaining to Ms. Perry's procedures performed</p> <p>19 Dr. Luu?</p> <p>20 A. I may have briefly reviewed them.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And we talked a little bit about</p> <p>22 deposition transcripts, and I know you said you reviewed</p> <p>23 Ms. Perry's and her husband's deposition transcripts.</p> <p>24 Did you review Dr. Luu's deposition transcript?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, I did sometime ago.</p>

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 114	Page 116
<p>1 Q. Do you recall how long ago that might have been?</p> <p>2 A. A month or so ago.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And how about Dr. Allen? Did you review</p> <p>4 his deposition transcript?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And was that the same amount of time ago, about a</p> <p>7 month and a half ago?</p> <p>8 A. I think that was a little more recent. I think</p> <p>9 that came in after Dr. Luu's.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And Dr. Singh, did you review his</p> <p>11 deposition transcript?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And how long ago did you review Dr. Singh's</p> <p>14 deposition transcript?</p> <p>15 A. A month or so ago.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified you have not</p> <p>17 reviewed Dr. Margolis's deposition transcript?</p> <p>18 A. Correct. I've had portions of it relayed to me,</p> <p>19 but I have not reviewed it. I'm not even sure I received</p> <p>20 it.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Do you know what portions of his</p> <p>22 transcript have been forwarded to you?</p> <p>23 A. None were forwarded. I was just read a few --</p> <p>24 Q. And what portions of Dr. Margolis's deposition</p> <p>25 testimony were read to you? If you can give me sort of a</p>	<p>1 you had described as -- from the posterior repair</p> <p>2 procedure. It's the --</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. You got that?</p> <p>5 Okay. What I'm looking at it says, "Tissue ID is</p> <p>6 vaginal wall posterior excision."</p> <p>7 Can you tell me what -- in layman's terms what</p> <p>8 does that mean? What are we talking about?</p> <p>9 A. Posterior vaginal wall. So mucosa and some of</p> <p>10 the submucosa.</p> <p>11 Q. And that would have been taken from where?</p> <p>12 A. The vagina.</p> <p>13 Q. Can you be any more specific about the location</p> <p>14 that this sample would have been taken from?</p> <p>15 A. Distal posterior vagina from my understanding of</p> <p>16 the surgical procedure.</p> <p>17 Q. And do you know why the sample would have been</p> <p>18 taken?</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: This -- based on other medical</p> <p>21 records, he performed an anterior and posterior</p> <p>22 colporrhaphy procedure, and it was because there was a</p> <p>23 cystocele and rectocele.</p> <p>24 MS. COTA: Q. And so the purpose was to</p> <p>25 determine the pathology of the cystocele and -- or --</p>
Page 115	Page 117
<p>1 summary.</p> <p>2 A. I think that he was discussing shrinkage of the</p> <p>3 mesh and he discussed the pathology report at some point</p> <p>4 and it was that discussion.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And the pathology report he discussed, was</p> <p>6 it the -- the pathology report that you've referred to as</p> <p>7 the -- from the -- I'm sorry, give me one second -- the</p> <p>8 posterior repair procedure? Was it that pathology report?</p> <p>9 A. No. I think he was mostly just -- at least the</p> <p>10 parts that were relayed to me was discussing the</p> <p>11 explant --</p> <p>12 Q. Explant?</p> <p>13 A. -- tissue, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, I know counsel asked you</p> <p>15 this, but I'm not sure what the response was.</p> <p>16 Dr. Margolis, he prepared a report of his independent</p> <p>17 medical exam of Ms. Perry. Have you reviewed that report?</p> <p>18 A. I may have reviewed that, but I don't -- I don't</p> <p>19 have a clear memory of that.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Do you recall if any of the deposition</p> <p>21 transcript portions that were read to you from</p> <p>22 Dr. Margolis's deposition referred to his report?</p> <p>23 A. No, I don't recall that.</p> <p>24 Q. And Dr. Longacre, I'm going to refer to -- I</p> <p>25 believe it's Exhibit L-2. It's the pathology report that</p>	<p>1 A. No. No, this is part of --</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Again, my -- I'm not a surgeon.</p> <p>4 This is not -- but in terms of my receiving these</p> <p>5 specimens, my understanding of these procedures is that</p> <p>6 when -- they're basically removing excess tissues to sort</p> <p>7 of tighten it up because the rectocele is basically the</p> <p>8 rectum is protruding into the vaginal lumen causing</p> <p>9 prolapse.</p> <p>10 And so by removing that redundant tissue, it's</p> <p>11 thought to help prevent that rectocele. Same thing for</p> <p>12 the cystocele, but that would be the anterior vaginal</p> <p>13 mucosa.</p> <p>14 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And so is this just a</p> <p>15 standard procedure to your knowledge?</p> <p>16 A. What --</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>18 MS. COTA: Q. To, I guess, get a sample to</p> <p>19 pathology to review in this instance?</p> <p>20 A. Most -- most hospitals require their surgeons to</p> <p>21 submit all tissue that's removed from patients to</p> <p>22 pathology.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. Yes. That part is standard.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 118</p> <p>1 A. Some hospitals don't have the explicit 2 requirement as others do. 3 Q. Okay. Very good. Thank you for clarifying that. 4 And as part of Dr. Luu's chart, did you review 5 Dr. Luu's operative report of Ms. Perry's procedures? I 6 believe they're on March 23rd of 2011. 7 A. I'm sorry, did I review the operative procedure? 8 Yes. 9 Q. Okay. So you read Dr. Luu's operative report? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And do we know when during this procedure the 12 sample that we're talking about in this pathology report 13 would have been obtained? 14 A. I have the report here. I guess I'm not sure. 15 What do you mean when was it obtained? 16 Q. Do you know when during Dr. Luu's procedures this 17 sample would have been obtained? 18 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for 19 speculation. 20 THE WITNESS: Short of reading this report, I 21 wouldn't know. 22 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Well, that's fine. We'll 23 move on. 24 I believe, Dr. Longacre, you testified earlier 25 that -- or actually, it says here in your report that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 120</p> <p>1 for us. 2 A. Okay. I don't have mine. I don't know why. Do 3 I? Oh, nope. Here it is. Go ahead. 4 Q. Okay. Page 2. And if we look at -- let's see -- 5 subsection C, number two, where it says, "Fragments of 6 hair bearing skin from perineum." 7 Can you explain how do you know that that's what 8 you were looking at? How do you identify skin from the 9 perineum? 10 A. So that's a fair question. Basically I was 11 trying to distinguish vaginal mucosa from cutaneous 12 tissue, and that's how I knew. I mean, it wasn't just 13 vaginal mucosa that was in the specimen, because that 14 looks different from skin. 15 Now, because this is a posterior colporrhaphy 16 procedure, the skin would be the perineum. It should be. 17 If I knew -- how do I distinguish -- if somebody gave me a 18 slide from perineum and a slide from maybe the buttock, 19 would I be able to tell the difference? No. It's skin. 20 But there was no reason he would be taking skin 21 from someplace else. If he's taking it -- if the 22 surgeon's removing skin, it would have been in the 23 perineal region. 24 Q. And further, what is the perineum? 25 A. It's the skin -- in this particular case it would</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 119</p> <p>1 there are no gross findings because nothing but slides 2 were reviewed by you. 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. And is it true that based on the pathology 5 report -- and I apologize I cannot read this physician's 6 name -- this physician would have actually viewed the 7 actual sample? 8 MR. WES: Object, form, calls for speculation. 9 THE WITNESS: Well, no, there is a gross 10 description in the pathology report from the pathologist. 11 MS. COTA: Q. And so that means that this 12 physician actually viewed the sample? 13 MR. WES: Same objection. Also, foundational. 14 THE WITNESS: Well, actually that's not the case. 15 The gross description was dictated by one physician, 16 whereas the diagnosis was signed out -- is rendered by a 17 different physician. 18 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. But based on this pathology 19 report somebody -- some physician -- 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. -- viewed the actual sample? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. And moving on to your list of 24 opinions on page 2 -- and thank you for providing this for 25 us. It made it a lot easier and probably more expedient</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 121</p> <p>1 be the skin immediately posterior to the vaginal -- to the 2 introitus, the vaginal opening. 3 Q. And so your description here of it being from the 4 perineum is because of -- is based on the procedure that 5 Dr. Luu performed? 6 A. Yes. It's contextual. I can tell that it's 7 perineum as opposed to vaginal mucosa because there's hair 8 and sebaceous glands, and you don't see that in vaginal 9 tissue. 10 Q. Okay. But like you said, you wouldn't be able to 11 differentiate -- if you didn't know what it was, you 12 wouldn't be able to differentiate skin from the perineum 13 with skin from -- I think your example was the buttock? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Okay. In your looking at the samples, was it 16 obvious to you that there was something other than mucosal 17 tissue on the slide, in the sample? 18 MR. WES: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And then moving down to 21 subsection D. And let's see. On -- I guess this is 22 little -- little i, 1, where it says, "Hair bearing skin," 23 et cetera. 24 Can you, as Counsel would say, flush that out for 25 me and just explain what that means and why it's</p>

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 122</p> <p>1 important?</p> <p>2 A. Again, it's just indicating that during -- that</p> <p>3 it wasn't just vaginal mucosal tissue that was removed, it</p> <p>4 was skin. It was cutaneous tissue. And again, by context</p> <p>5 it was -- it was the posterior perineal tissue.</p> <p>6 And the reason I know that is because there were</p> <p>7 hair and there were sebaceous glands, and it was a little</p> <p>8 more edematous than -- both -- actually, both the vaginal</p> <p>9 tissue and the perineal tissue was a little more edematous</p> <p>10 than usual, but that's -- yeah, that's a soft finding, if</p> <p>11 you will. It's not surprising if she has prolapse, but</p> <p>12 it's not -- it's not an overly significant finding.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And what does edematous mean?</p> <p>14 A. Filled with fluid. It's not exactly it, but</p> <p>15 that -- you know, for a lay person, that's sort of what it</p> <p>16 means. If you have tissue protruding, it can be a little</p> <p>17 more edematous.</p> <p>18 Q. Gotcha. Thank you. And you testified earlier --</p> <p>19 and I'm looking at your points here. It the says 13</p> <p>20 pieces were perineal -- I hate that word. I can't say</p> <p>21 it -- and the remainder eight pieces were of the mucosa.</p> <p>22 So did you look at 21 slides altogether?</p> <p>23 A. No. That was just the number of pieces of tissue</p> <p>24 that were on the slide -- on the slides in total.</p> <p>25 Q. I see.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 124</p> <p>1 could theoretically cut more sections.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. So it's not like every --</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Previous objections.</p> <p>5 MS. COTA: Q. So you haven't looked at the</p> <p>6 entire sample? Is that fair to say?</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. No, I don't think</p> <p>9 that's fair to say because I'm not even sure what you're</p> <p>10 asking.</p> <p>11 MS. COTA: Q. Well, I think you talked about the</p> <p>12 sample they take and cut and make -- prepare slides out of</p> <p>13 the blocks.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you know if you've viewed -- like if all the</p> <p>16 material has been prepared as slides?</p> <p>17 A. No. I don't know that.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So it could be that there's portions of</p> <p>19 the sample that you haven't looked at?</p> <p>20 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: There will be -- no. You know,</p> <p>22 what's going to be left is additional level sections of</p> <p>23 that tissue, but there's not going to be suddenly another</p> <p>24 piece of tissue that I didn't see. That's highly</p> <p>25 unlikely. That would be not good pathology practice.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 123</p> <p>1 A. So there were four blocks and in putting --</p> <p>2 adding up all the pieces in each of those four slides that</p> <p>3 were made from the four blocks, there were that many</p> <p>4 fragments of tissue.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you know if the entire sample was -- I don't</p> <p>6 know what the right word is -- prepared and placed on</p> <p>7 slides?</p> <p>8 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, calls for</p> <p>9 speculation.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: So do I know for -- personally</p> <p>11 because, no, I didn't do the gross. But based on the path</p> <p>12 report, it says, "Sections all, four cassettes." That</p> <p>13 generally means all the tissue was submitted. That's what</p> <p>14 that should mean.</p> <p>15 If they meant something else, then it's not --</p> <p>16 it's a miscommunication. Generally when we say all, all</p> <p>17 the tissue's been submitted.</p> <p>18 MR. COTA: Q. Okay. Do you know if you have</p> <p>19 viewed all of the tissue from the sample that was</p> <p>20 submitted?</p> <p>21 A. Four cassettes were made, and so there were four</p> <p>22 sets of slides, so that should be all of the slides of the</p> <p>23 tissue.</p> <p>24 Now, you could cut additional -- there's still</p> <p>25 tissue in the blocks, in the paraffin blocks, and you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 125</p> <p>1 You're supposed to cut into your block enough that you've</p> <p>2 seen all the -- that the pathologist has viewed all the</p> <p>3 tissue on those slides. So --</p> <p>4 MS. COTA: Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. So there may be additional levels, but there</p> <p>6 won't be -- there should not be more tissue that I didn't</p> <p>7 see.</p> <p>8 Q. I see. And so would each slide have the four</p> <p>9 levels that you described, the mucosa, the</p> <p>10 submucosa, the muscularis and the adventitia?</p> <p>11 A. Not necessarily. Most of them just have mucosa</p> <p>12 and submucosa.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And the skin from the perineum, where</p> <p>14 would -- so that was along with the mucosa or the</p> <p>15 submucosa level that you saw?</p> <p>16 A. No. No. It's another piece of tissue. And then</p> <p>17 we'll have skin. Then you don't call it -- it's not</p> <p>18 mucosae. You call it epidermis and then dermis --</p> <p>19 Q. Okay.</p> <p>20 A. -- which is the cutaneous correlate for mucosa</p> <p>21 and submucosa.</p> <p>22 Q. Gotcha. Okay. Thanks.</p> <p>23 A. There was -- most of the fragments were really</p> <p>24 distinct. They were either skin or vaginal mucosa. As I</p> <p>25 recall, there was one fragment where most of the tissue</p>

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 126	Page 128
<p>1 was vaginal mucosa, and then it transitioned into the</p> <p>2 skin.</p> <p>3 So obviously he had taken tissue right at the</p> <p>4 junction of the vaginal mucosa and the skin, again --</p> <p>5 arguing, again, that it is, in fact, perineum because</p> <p>6 they're actually connected.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified that the -- I</p> <p>8 could be misstating this, but that the slides you were</p> <p>9 looking at there was some degradation from the process or</p> <p>10 something along those lines?</p> <p>11 A. No, not degradation.</p> <p>12 MR. WES: Objection, form, misstates the</p> <p>13 testimony.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Yes. So there's no degradation.</p> <p>15 There's no disruption. There's no interpretive issues</p> <p>16 with the initial tissue that was removed during the</p> <p>17 insertion of the mesh. It was the mesh -- the second</p> <p>18 procedure when the mesh was removed, that tissue was</p> <p>19 fairly disrupted.</p> <p>20 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Okay. I was confusing two</p> <p>21 words and two different pathology reports.</p> <p>22 And I know you're familiar with Ms. Perry's</p> <p>23 statements in her deposition and her medical records.</p> <p>24 Have you reviewed any of her responses to any discovery</p> <p>25 requests that have been made in this case?</p>	<p>1 introitus?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. But Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain; is</p> <p>4 that right?</p> <p>5 MR. WES: Object to form, calls for speculation,</p> <p>6 foundation, outside the scope.</p> <p>7 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer.</p> <p>8 A. My understanding is because I recently saw a</p> <p>9 document that she was, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And were you aware that in addition to the</p> <p>11 complaints of dyspareunia, she also has complained of</p> <p>12 vaginal pain?</p> <p>13 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm not sure what vaginal</p> <p>15 pain is, but yes.</p> <p>16 MS. COTA: Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. I understand that she has that. She says she has</p> <p>18 that.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that she</p> <p>20 continues to complain of vaginal pain, as we sit here</p> <p>21 today?</p> <p>22 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: I don't know what she -- what's</p> <p>24 happening today, but I realize that there was -- so</p> <p>25 basically, yeah, I was actually pretty surprised because I</p>
Page 127	Page 129
<p>1 A. I'm -- I don't know 'cause I'm not sure what</p> <p>2 you're asking. I don't know what discovery means.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Right. Typically we lawyers, you know,</p> <p>4 have to ask lots of questions, and one thing we do in</p> <p>5 preparing for trial is send written questions to the</p> <p>6 different parties in the case. And then it's -- you know,</p> <p>7 the party has to respond to them.</p> <p>8 I'm just wondering if your counsel had provided</p> <p>9 any of Ms. Perry's responses to any of those requests to</p> <p>10 you to review?</p> <p>11 A. They may have. I've seen other material.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. But as far as knowing whether or not</p> <p>13 they're discovery responses, you wouldn't be able to tell</p> <p>14 me?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Do you know, as we sit here today, if</p> <p>17 Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain?</p> <p>18 A. My understanding is that she is.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And you reviewed Dr. Allen's records, so I</p> <p>20 know that you are aware that she had a portion of the mesh</p> <p>21 excised, I believe, in January of 2012; is that right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct. I believe that's when it was.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And are you aware that during the excision</p> <p>24 procedure that Dr. Allen also performed a procedure to</p> <p>25 basically widen the entrance or the circumference of the</p>	<p>1 thought post the mesh excision things were a lot better,</p> <p>2 and I saw documents that all her pain was gone. And then</p> <p>3 it was very recently that I was supplied with a document</p> <p>4 that she has pain again.</p> <p>5 MS. COTA: Q. Okay.</p> <p>6 A. It sounded -- I thought it had resolved on my</p> <p>7 first review of the postsurgical records.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And were you aware that she also</p> <p>9 complains -- or complained -- yeah, we'll leave it as</p> <p>10 complain of pelvic pain.</p> <p>11 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure about the pelvic</p> <p>13 pain. I'm not so aware of that. I know there's other</p> <p>14 pain issues related to a motor vehicle accident and some</p> <p>15 back pain, but I don't -- other than that I don't know a</p> <p>16 lot about that.</p> <p>17 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. But even since Dr. Allen's</p> <p>18 procedures to excise a portion of the mesh and also widen</p> <p>19 the introitus, Ms. Perry continues to complain of pain?</p> <p>20 MR. WES: Objection, form, foundation,</p> <p>21 speculation, outside the scope.</p> <p>22 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer.</p> <p>23 A. Yeah, she's still complaining of some kind of</p> <p>24 pain -- or has started complaining again, I guess is what</p> <p>25 I would say, because I thought at some point she was</p>

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 130	Page 132
<p>1 reporting it was all resolved, and now it seems to have 2 come back. 3 Q. And is that based on your review of her medical 4 records? 5 A. Yes. The records that have been supplied by me, 6 yeah. 7 Q. Okay. And I know you're aware of Dr. Margolis's 8 reports, although you haven't had a chance to review it, 9 the report of the IME. So -- 10 A. The IME? 11 Q. Yes, the independent medical exam. I'm sorry. 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. Okay. So are you aware that in Dr. Margolis's 14 report he writes that he is able to replicate or reproduce 15 the plaintiff's pain complaints by palpating her vagina 16 where the mesh is? 17 MR. WES: Objection, form, foundation, calls for 18 speculation. This is outside the scope. 19 THE WITNESS: And I don't recall that. I'm 20 not -- 21 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. So you're not aware that 22 Dr. Margolis wrote that in his independent medical exam 23 report? 24 A. No, not specifically I'm not. 25 Q. Okay. And I know you mentioned earlier you read</p>	<p>1 MS. COTA: Q. And I believe you stated that in 2 your opinion the plaintiff's complaints of pain were due 3 to the colporrhaphy procedure; is that right? 4 A. I think that her dyspareunia is likely due to the 5 colporrhaphy and that narrowing. 6 Q. And what is that opinion based on? 7 A. It's a known complication of colporrhaphy, 8 number one; and number two, there was a substantial amount 9 of that perineal tissue that was removed. And the more of 10 the perineal tissue, the more likely that there's going to 11 be pain associated with that -- with a posterior 12 colporrhaphy procedure. And that's -- I think that's 13 pretty well established that there's a significant risk 14 for that. 15 Q. And is that something you know from your practice 16 as a pathologist? 17 A. Yes. Just -- well, practice as a GYN 18 pathologist, yes. 19 Q. Okay. And is that based in any way on any of the 20 material that you reviewed that was provided to you by 21 counsel? 22 A. There were some -- there was some literature that 23 was provided recently on complications of colporrhaphy, 24 and they were actually provided after I made the 25 observation there was an awful lot of perineal tissue.</p>
Page 131	Page 133
<p>1 Patrick Perry's deposition transcript and talked about his 2 complaints of pain, and I think you said that his 3 complaints had to do with feeling something, I think you 4 said, in the posterior or anterior portion of the vagina 5 of material that he could feel on his penis; is that 6 right? 7 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation, misstates 8 the testimony. 9 THE WITNESS: I think it was something was 10 irritating the shaft of his penis during intercourse. 11 MS. COTA: Q. Do you recall if he testified that 12 it was -- felt like a Brillo pad? 13 A. That part I don't -- 14 MR. WES: Same objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't really recall what -- 16 his -- 17 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. 18 A. -- analogy. I don't remember that. 19 Q. Okay. Do you recall if he testified that the 20 narrow opening -- narrow introitus was causing him any 21 pain? 22 MR. WES: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that. 24 MR. WES: Speculation. 25 THE WITNESS: No.</p>	<p>1 And I wondered if that was -- my first thought was maybe 2 this is what's really causing her dyspareunia, and it was 3 shortly thereafter they provided me with this literature. 4 Q. Okay. Hang on one second here. 5 A. And it also corroborates -- I believe Dr. Allen 6 seemed to think that was part of it. I think he was 7 attributing her pain to that as well. 8 Q. And that's from reading his deposition transcript 9 or his medical records? 10 A. One or the other. 11 Q. And you spent some time telling us about the -- 12 and I'm going to say this wrong -- is it a mucosal 13 non-healing wound or a non-healing wound in the mucosa 14 or -- 15 A. Either way. 16 Q. Okay. Great. 17 A. Yeah. 18 Q. You talked about that. Could that have been 19 causing Ms. Perry's pain? 20 MR. WES: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: It didn't sound like it. The kind 22 of pain she was describing, the dyspareunia, did not sound 23 like that that was -- because she was really just talking 24 about pain on entry initially, and that didn't sound like 25 it was anything to do with any kind of non-healing wound.</p>

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 134</p> <p>1 MS. COTA: Q. In general, in a non-healing wound</p> <p>2 of the type you're describing, would that potentially</p> <p>3 cause someone to suffer some pain?</p> <p>4 A. It may or may not. Again, you know, if you're --</p> <p>5 yeah, it may not. If there's some level of vascular</p> <p>6 insufficiency that -- particularly in diabetics. Now,</p> <p>7 she's not the classic type I, but she has been diagnosed</p> <p>8 with type II. They can have wound healing problems, and</p> <p>9 they may not have the same -- they may not notice they</p> <p>10 have injury. Their sensation may not be there. So it's</p> <p>11 possible that, you know --</p> <p>12 Q. And I'm sorry, you told us this earlier, and I</p> <p>13 said I wasn't going to do this, but when did you first</p> <p>14 start reviewing materials for this case?</p> <p>15 A. It was mid or late summer --</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. -- of this year obviously.</p> <p>18 Q. And when did you first look at any of the slides?</p> <p>19 A. I think it was late summer.</p> <p>20 Q. Summer. You mean August or September?</p> <p>21 A. I think August.</p> <p>22 Q. And did you look at all of the slides you</p> <p>23 reviewed all at once, or did that happen in stages?</p> <p>24 A. There was stages, but I think -- no, I think I</p> <p>25 did -- I reviewed all the slides the first time, I</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 136</p> <p>1 other than the complaints of dyspareunia; is that right?</p> <p>2 MR. WES: Objection, form, calls for speculation,</p> <p>3 foundation, outside the scope.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: My understanding it's more recently</p> <p>5 she's complaining of different kinds of pain now, yes.</p> <p>6 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And do you have any opinion</p> <p>7 of what could be causing those complaints of pain?</p> <p>8 MR. WES: Objection, outside the scope, calls for</p> <p>9 speculation.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Well, okay. So I -- my response is</p> <p>11 yes and no as to whether I have an opinion. I do think</p> <p>12 that post colporrhaphy, even when you try to, you know,</p> <p>13 fix the pain, that it's continuing and ongoing.</p> <p>14 So even though Dr. Allen tried to dilate the --</p> <p>15 you know, the expand the circumference and dilate the</p> <p>16 introitus, there's always a chance that it will -- the</p> <p>17 pain, the dyspareunia, will recur for lots of reasons, but</p> <p>18 one of them would just be that it just sort of narrows</p> <p>19 down again.</p> <p>20 So I would suspect that if, in fact, she's</p> <p>21 redeveloped pain, that's probably still a component of</p> <p>22 that colporrhaphy procedure. But there are other</p> <p>23 components of that pain that I have no opinion, 'cause</p> <p>24 they don't really make sense to me. I don't understand</p> <p>25 why she has them.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 135</p> <p>1 believe. And then there was another set of recuts that I</p> <p>2 saw again, but they were recuts of the slides I had</p> <p>3 already seen, as far as I recall.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So you were actually -- you saw all the</p> <p>5 slides for purposes of what they revealed to you in August</p> <p>6 of this year; is that right? That probably wasn't a good</p> <p>7 question.</p> <p>8 MR. WES: Objection, form.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: I think it was August.</p> <p>10 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. And so was it at that time</p> <p>11 upon your review in August that you came to -- or formed</p> <p>12 your opinion that there were these fragments of the</p> <p>13 perineum?</p> <p>14 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>15 MS. COTA: Q. I'm sorry, was that a yes?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. At the time of my first review of the</p> <p>17 colporrhaphy tissue that was removed, yes, that was my</p> <p>18 opinion then.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And prior to your review of the samples,</p> <p>20 had you received any literature regarding the potential</p> <p>21 risks or side effects of colporrhaphy procedures?</p> <p>22 A. No. No. As I mentioned, those came after I made</p> <p>23 the observation that there was a significant amount of</p> <p>24 that perineal tissue that was removed.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And Ms. Perry, she's complaining of pain</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 137</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And what --</p> <p>2 A. So I can't address those.</p> <p>3 Q. And what -- I'm sorry, were you finished?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I think she said something about burning</p> <p>5 vaginal pain without -- just de novo. I don't understand</p> <p>6 that.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Any other components of her pain that</p> <p>8 don't make sense to you?</p> <p>9 A. Well, those are the only one that I recall. When</p> <p>10 I read it, it didn't make any -- I don't know what that</p> <p>11 means --</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. -- or how to explain that or even --</p> <p>14 Q. And her -- what about her complaints of vaginal</p> <p>15 pain? Does that make any sense to you?</p> <p>16 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: No, not really.</p> <p>18 MS. COTA: Q. And pelvic pain?</p> <p>19 A. Well, again --</p> <p>20 MR. WES: Same objections.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall reading about</p> <p>22 the pelvic. I don't know what she means by pelvic pain.</p> <p>23 So that I don't know.</p> <p>24 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. If --</p> <p>25 A. Pelvis is a big area, so I don't know where she's</p>

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 138</p> <p>1 talking about or what that's referring to.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So if you were aware that she -- or if</p> <p>3 she -- if you were aware that she was complaining of</p> <p>4 pelvic pain, you wouldn't know the cause of that; is that</p> <p>5 right?</p> <p>6 A. I wouldn't --</p> <p>7 MR. WES: Object to form, calls for speculation,</p> <p>8 outside the scope.</p> <p>9 Go ahead.</p> <p>10 MS. COTA: Q. You can answer.</p> <p>11 A. No, I wouldn't know.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And Dr. Longacre, I know you've testified</p> <p>13 and told us that, you know, these opinions, you know,</p> <p>14 constitute the opinions you'll be giving at trial.</p> <p>15 Are you going to be expressing any opinion of</p> <p>16 Dr. Luu's procedures that he performed on Ms. Perry?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Are you going to be making any criticisms of the</p> <p>19 procedures that Dr. Luu performed on Ms. Perry?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Are you going to be making any criticisms about</p> <p>22 Dr. Luu?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 MS. COTA: Okay. Thank you very much. I don't</p> <p>25 have any more questions.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 140</p> <p>1 make clear exactly what is on that flash drive that has</p> <p>2 actually been reviewed.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Versus what hadn't been reviewed?</p> <p>4 MR. WES: Correct.</p> <p>5 MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>6 Q. Doctor, you understand this trial is set to begin</p> <p>7 January 12th, 2015, correct?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. And I assume you've cleared your schedule and you</p> <p>10 would be able to come and testify the month of January?</p> <p>11 A. After the 12th, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. You'll be able to testify?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Final question. Will you be giving an opinion</p> <p>15 that the AC procedure, anterior colporrhaphy procedure,</p> <p>16 the AC procedure, caused dyspareunia in Ms. Perry?</p> <p>17 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I think -- well, it was an anterior</p> <p>19 and posterior colporrhaphy, and it's generally the</p> <p>20 posterior colporrhaphy part that is thought to be</p> <p>21 associated with the dyspareunia, not necessarily the</p> <p>22 anterior colporrhaphy.</p> <p>23 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving an opinion the</p> <p>24 PC procedure caused dyspareunia in Ms. Perry?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Object to form.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 139</p> <p>1 EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES</p> <p>2 MR. JONES: Q. A few issues. Doctor, you</p> <p>3 brought with you some materials today that you could refer</p> <p>4 to, correct?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 MR. JONES: I'd like to go ahead and mark those</p> <p>7 materials that we haven't already previously marked as</p> <p>8 Exhibit L-8 (sic).</p> <p>9 MR. WES: Sure. I think those are going to be</p> <p>10 the two operative reports.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what they were.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Okay. We'll mark those as Exhibit</p> <p>13 L --</p> <p>14 MS. COTA: The last one I have is L-8, the CV.</p> <p>15 MR. JONES: Mark L-9.</p> <p>16 (Whereupon, Exhibits L-9 and L-10 were marked</p> <p>17 for identification.)</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: What happened to L-4?</p> <p>19 MR. JONES: A couple of follow-up questions based</p> <p>20 on information that came about through Laura's</p> <p>21 questioning.</p> <p>22 Well, first off, Josh, you're going to provide a</p> <p>23 narrow universe of materials that she has actually looked</p> <p>24 at and reviewed, correct?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Yes, we will provide you of the list to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 141</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: In all likelihood I think that it</p> <p>2 did, yes.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. Will you be giving that opinion</p> <p>4 at trial?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I will.</p> <p>6 Q. Is that an opinion that's included in this</p> <p>7 summary of opinions list?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know why I keep -- it may not be.</p> <p>9 Did you find it? This is why we have this 'cause</p> <p>10 I can't even remember what I say let alone what I write</p> <p>11 down.</p> <p>12 Oh, consistent with findings of the explanter of</p> <p>13 a tight band at the introitus. So that's consistent with</p> <p>14 Dr. Allen's findings, and I guess by extrapolation I</p> <p>15 was -- his interpretation that that was probably what was</p> <p>16 causing the dyspareunia. The literature suggests that,</p> <p>17 and that's how I'm interpreting it. So that's what that</p> <p>18 is.</p> <p>19 Q. So consistent with findings of the explanter of a</p> <p>20 tight band at the introitus?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. What you mean by that is you'll be giving an</p> <p>23 opinion at trial that the posterior repair caused</p> <p>24 dyspareunia in Ms. Perry?</p> <p>25 MR. WES: Object to form.</p>

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 142	Page 144
<p>1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think -- the opinion is</p> <p>2 that there was a -- there wasn't just vaginal tissue</p> <p>3 removed, there was a fair amount -- significant amount of</p> <p>4 perineal tissue. That perineal tissue -- in all</p> <p>5 likelihood that -- the removal of that material in all</p> <p>6 likelihood caused the narrowing, and narrowing of the</p> <p>7 introitus is associated with dyspareunia.</p> <p>8 So in all likelihood, I think that that is what's</p> <p>9 caused her dyspareunia, and that was her report of pain on</p> <p>10 entry. So I think that's corroborated by Dr. Allen's</p> <p>11 findings, and that's my opinion.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. Are there any other</p> <p>13 conclusions that you'll be giving that aren't included in</p> <p>14 this summary of opinions?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And you said that counsel provided you</p> <p>17 literature related to dyspareunia being associated with</p> <p>18 colporrhaphy procedures, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>21 MR. JONES: Q. Did counsel provide you any</p> <p>22 literature related to transvaginal mesh causing</p> <p>23 dyspareunia?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Counsel provided me a lot of</p>	<p>1 Q. Do you know how -- how wide the introitus was</p> <p>2 following the PC procedure?</p> <p>3 MR. WES: Object to form, foundation,</p> <p>4 speculation.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Dr. Allen makes a comment about how</p> <p>6 it's, you know, narrowed and how many fingers he could</p> <p>7 insert versus after he dilated it, yes.</p> <p>8 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. Do you know how wide the</p> <p>9 introitus was prior to the PC procedure?</p> <p>10 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: I don't know that it was reported</p> <p>12 in that operative report.</p> <p>13 MS. COTA: Q. Okay. So is that a no?</p> <p>14 A. Well, I think as -- I think even Doctor -- I'm</p> <p>15 blocking on his name -- Luu?</p> <p>16 Q. That's my client.</p> <p>17 A. Is that how you pronounce his name?</p> <p>18 Q. My client, yes.</p> <p>19 A. I think as he mentioned it, if he had seen -- if</p> <p>20 there was an abnormality, he would have reported it. He</p> <p>21 mentioned that in his deposition. So if there had been an</p> <p>22 abnormally narrow introitus, that would have been in his</p> <p>23 op report.</p> <p>24 He didn't say that specifically, but I know that</p> <p>25 during his deposition he was asked something, and he</p>
Page 143	Page 145
<p>1 literature about -- concerning dyspareunia associated</p> <p>2 with -- or with -- you know, associated with mesh, yes.</p> <p>3 MR. JONES: Q. Okay. And once we get the list</p> <p>4 of materials that you actually are relying on in this</p> <p>5 case, we'll be able to look at that list and locate</p> <p>6 articles where transvaginal mesh has been associated with</p> <p>7 dyspareunia, correct?</p> <p>8 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Transvaginal mesh material that's</p> <p>10 been -- I reviewed articles that talked about pain</p> <p>11 associated with transvaginal mesh.</p> <p>12 MR. JONES: Okay.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>14 MR. JONES: That's all the questions I have.</p> <p>15 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. COTA</p> <p>16 MS. COTA: And I'm sorry, I have like two</p> <p>17 follow-up questions.</p> <p>18 Q. Dr. Longacre, you testified that it's your</p> <p>19 opinion that the dyspareunia that Ms. Perry complains of</p> <p>20 is because of a narrow introitus caused by the PC</p> <p>21 procedure. Is that --</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. -- accurate?</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Object to form.</p> <p>25 MS. COTA: Thank you.</p>	<p>1 basically said it would be in there. If there was</p> <p>2 anything abnormal, it would have been in there.</p> <p>3 So I would extrapolate that it wasn't abnormally</p> <p>4 narrow at the time he did his mesh procedure and probably</p> <p>5 wasn't, not with all that -- usually with lax tissue, it's</p> <p>6 usually not narrowed. The enterocele and the recto --</p> <p>7 cystocele.</p> <p>8 (Reporter clarification.)</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's another term for</p> <p>10 rectocele.</p> <p>11 MS. COTA: Q. But it's true we don't have any</p> <p>12 documentation similar to Dr. Allen's report where he talks</p> <p>13 about the number of fingers that he can insert?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 MR. WES: Same objection.</p> <p>16 MS. COTA: And is the -- whether or not -- well,</p> <p>17 strike that. I'm done.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's all.</p> <p>19 MR. WES: Do you have anything else? Go off the</p> <p>20 record for just a second.</p> <p>21 (Discussion held off the record.)</p> <p>22 MR. WES: We can go back on.</p> <p>23 EXAMINATION BY MR. WES</p> <p>24 MR. WES: Q. So just one very brief point of</p> <p>25 clarification. I think plaintiff's counsel asked you</p>

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

Teri A. Longacre, M.D.

Page 146	Page 148
1 earlier if you were being compensated for your deposition	1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
2 testimony today. Is it true that you're being compensated) ss.
3 for your time today?	2 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)
4 A. Yes.	3
5 Q. Okay. And the opinions you're giving in this	4 I, LISA R. KEELING, a Certified Shorthand
6 case are your opinions; is that right?	5 Reporter in and for the State of California, hereby
7 A. Absolutely.	6 certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition,
8 MR. WES: Those are all the questions I have.	7 TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.,
9 MR. JONES: Thanks, Doctor.	8 was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
10 MR. WES: Standing order, rough and expedite.	9 and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause,
11 MR. JONES: Rough for me and expedite.	10 and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
12 MS. COTA: Same for me.	11 transcript of the proceedings had at the taking of said
13 (Whereupon the deposition of	12 deposition, reported to the best of my ability and
14 TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D.,	13 transcribed under my direction.
15 was concluded at 12:55 p.m.)	14
16	15
17 --oOo--	16
18	17 Date _____, 2014 _____
19	LISA KEELING, CSR NO. 10518
20	18
21	19
22	20
23	21
24	22
25	23
	24
	25

Page 147	Page 148
1 -----	1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2 E R R A T A	2
3 -----	3 I, _____, do hereby
4 PAGE LINE CHANGE	4 certify that I have read the foregoing pages, and that
5 _____	5 the same is a correct transcription of the answers
6 REASON: _____	6 given by me to the questions therein propounded, except
7 _____	7 for the corrections or changes in form or substance, if
8 REASON: _____	8 any, noted in the attached Errata Sheet.
9 _____	9
10 REASON: _____	10
11 _____	11 _____
12 REASON: _____	12 TERI A. LONGACRE, M.D. DATE
13 _____	13
14 REASON: _____	14
15 _____	15 Subscribed and sworn to
16 REASON: _____	16 before me on this _____ day
17 _____	17 of _____, 20____, by _____
18 REASON: _____	18 _____,
19 _____	19 proved to me on the basis of satisfactory
20 REASON: _____	20 evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
21 _____	21
22 REASON: _____	22 Signature _____
23 _____	23
24 REASON: _____	24
25	25

38 (Pages 146 to 148)